

Supplement for

Checking Adequacy of Variance Function in Nonparametric Regression Model

Jia Liang, Weixing Song*

Department of Statistics, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506

Abstract

In this paper, we propose a class of test procedures to check the adequacy of parametric forms of the variance function in regression models when the mean function is unknown. Replacing the unknown mean function with the Nadaraya-Watson estimator, the proposed test statistics are constructed from a modified minimum distance between a nonparametric estimator and a parametric estimator under the null hypothesis for the variance function. Large sample properties of the estimator of the parameters in the variance function are discussed, and the asymptotic distribution of the test statistics under the null hypothesis is established, as well as the consistency and the power under some local alternative hypotheses. Extensive simulation and comparison studies indicate that the finite sample performance of the proposed test procedure are satisfactory. Finally, the applications of the proposed test on two real data sets are conducted.

Keywords: Lack-of-Fit test, Variance function, Minimum distance, Consistency and Local Power
2000 MSC: primary 62F35, secondary 62F10

1. Lemma 3.1 From [Koul and Ni \(2004\)](#)

To prove the consistency of the minimum distance estimators $\hat{\theta}_n^*$ and $\hat{\theta}_n$, we need Lemma 3.1 from [Koul and Ni \(2004\)](#). Let $L_2(G)$ denote a class of square-integrable real-valued functions on \mathbb{R}^d w.r.t. G . Define

$$\rho(v_1, v_2) = \int_{\mathcal{C}} [v_1(x) - v_2(x)]^2 dG(x) \quad v_1, v_2 \in L_2(G)$$

and for a parametric function $m_\theta(x) \in L_2(G)$, we also define a map

$$M(v) = \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta \in \Theta} \rho(v, m_\theta), \quad v \in L_2(G).$$

2 Then [Koul and Ni \(2004\)](#) shows that

*Corresponding author

Email address: weixing@ksu.edu (Weixing Song)

3 **Lemma 1.1.** Let $m_\theta(x)$ be such that

4 (m1). For each θ , $m_\theta(x)$ is a.s. continuous in x , w.r.t. integrating measure G .

5 (m2). The parametric family of models $m_{\theta_1}(x) = m_{\theta_2}(x)$ a.s. (G), then $\theta_1 = \theta_2$.

(m3). There exists a positive continuous function l on \mathcal{C} and for some $\beta > 0$,

$$|m_{\theta_2}(x) - m_{\theta_1}(x)| \leq \|\theta_2 - \theta_1\|^\beta l(x), \quad \text{for any } \theta_1, \theta_2 \in \Theta, x \in \mathcal{C}.$$

6 Then the following hold

7 (a). $M(v)$ always exists, $v \in L_2(G)$.

(b). If $M(v)$ is unique, then M is continuous at v in the sense that for any sequence of $\{v_n\} \in L_2(G)$ converging to v in $L_2(G)$, $M(v_n) \rightarrow M(v)$, that is,

$$\rho(v_n, v) \rightarrow 0 \implies M(v_n) \rightarrow M(v) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

8 (c). $M(m_\theta(\cdot)) = \theta$, uniquely for any $\theta \in \Theta$.

9 2. Detailed Proofs of Main Results

10 In this section, we will present the proofs of all the main results from Section 3. For the sake
 11 of brevity, we denote $K_{hi}(x) = K_h(X_i - x)$, $K_{hij} = K_h(X_i - X_j)$, $v_0(x) = v(x, \theta_0)$, $K_{h1,2}(x) =$
 12 $K_{h1}(x)K_{h2}(x)$.

The proof of Theorem 3.1. Define

$$\hat{v}_n(x) = \frac{1}{n\hat{f}_w^3(x)} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x)(Y_i - \hat{m}(X_i))^2 \hat{f}_h^2(X_i), \quad v_{\theta_0}(x) = v_0(x).$$

13 Then $T_n^*(\theta) = \rho(\hat{v}_n, v_{\theta_0})$, and $\hat{\theta}_n^* = M(\hat{v}_n)$. Based on Lemma 1.1, to show the consistency of $\hat{\theta}_n^*$, it
 14 suffices to show $T_n^*(\theta_0) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

15 Adding and subtracting $m(X_i)$ from $Y_i - \hat{m}(X_i)$, $T_n^*(\theta_0)$ can be written as the sum of six terms,
 16 and the first three terms are

$$\begin{aligned} T_{n1}^*(\theta_0) &= \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n\hat{f}_w^3(x)} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x)(Y_i - m(X_i))^2 \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) - v_0(x) \right\}^2 dG(x) \\ T_{n2}^* &= \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n\hat{f}_w^3(x)} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x)(Y_i - m(X_i))(m(X_i) - \hat{m}(X_i)) \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) \right\}^2 dG(x) \\ T_{n3}^* &= \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n\hat{f}_w^3(x)} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x)(m(X_i) - \hat{m}(X_i))^2 \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) \right\}^2 dG(x) \end{aligned}$$

17 and the last three terms, by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, are bounded above by $\sqrt{T_{n1}^*(\theta_0)T_{n2}^*}$,
 18 $\sqrt{T_{n1}^*(\theta_0)T_{n3}^*}$, and $\sqrt{T_{n2}^*T_{n3}^*}$, respectively. Thus, to show $T_n^*(\theta_0) \rightarrow 0$ in probability, it is sufficient
 19 to show $T_{n1}^*(\theta_0)$, T_{n2}^* and T_{n3}^* converge to 0.

20 Adding and subtracting $f^2(X_i)$ from $\hat{f}_h^2(X_i)$, $T_{n1}^*(\theta_0)$ can be further written as a sum of three
 21 terms, and the first two terms are

$$T_{n11}^*(\theta_0) = \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n\hat{f}_w^3(x)} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x)(Y_i - m(X_i))^2 f^2(X_i) - v_0(x) \right\}^2 dG(x)$$

$$T_{n12}^* = \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n\hat{f}_w^3(x)} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x)(Y_i - m(X_i))^2 (\hat{f}_h^2(X_i) - f^2(X_i)) \right\}^2 dG(x),$$

22 and the last term, by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, are bounded above by $\sqrt{T_{n11}^*(\theta_0)T_{n12}^*}$. Thus,
 23 to show $T_{n1}^*(\theta_0) \rightarrow 0$ in probability, it is sufficient to show $T_{n11}^*(\theta_0)$ and T_{n12}^* converge to 0. Note
 24 that $T_{n11}^*(\theta_0)$ can be further bounded above by

$$2 \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n\hat{f}_w(x)} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x)(Y_i - m(X_i))^2 f^2(X_i) - f^2(x)v_0(x) \right\}^2 \hat{f}_w^{-4}(x) dG(x)$$

$$+ 2 \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ [\hat{f}_w^2(x) - f^2(x)]v_0(x) \right\}^2 \hat{f}_w^{-4}(x) dG(x).$$

25 By treating $(Y - m(X))^2 f^2(X)$ as the response variable, similar to the proof of the Corollary 3.1
 26 in [Koul and Ni \(2004\)](#), we can easily show that the first term on the right hand side of the above
 27 inequality is the order of $o_p(1)$. The details are omitted here for the sake of brevity. Denote the
 28 second term on the right hand side of the above inequality as T_{n13}^* .

29 Next we show $T_{n12}^* \rightarrow 0$ in probability. Recall the notation $\hat{\varphi}_w$, we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n\hat{f}_w^3(x)} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x)(Y_i - m(X_i))^2 (\hat{f}_h^2(X_i) - f^2(X_i)) \right\}^2 dG(x)$$

$$\leq \sup_{x \in \mathcal{C}} \frac{f^6(x)}{\hat{f}_w^6(x)} \cdot \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x)(Y_i - m(X_i))^2 (\hat{f}_h^2(X_i) - f^2(X_i)) \right\}^2 d\varphi(x).$$

30 Furthermore, we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x)(Y_i - m(X_i))^2 (\hat{f}_h^2(X_i) - f^2(X_i)) \right\}^2 d\varphi(x)$$

$$\leq 2 \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) \varepsilon_i^2 v_0(X_i) \left(\hat{f}_h(X_i) - f(X_i) \right)^2 \right\}^2 d\varphi(x)$$

$$+ 8 \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \varepsilon_i^2 v_0(X_i) f(X_i) \left(\hat{f}_h(X_i) - f(X_i) \right) \right\}^2 d\varphi(x).$$

31 Denote the right hand side of the above inequality as $2A_{n1} + 8A_{n2}$. For A_{n1} , we have

$$EA_{n1} = \frac{1}{n} \int EK_{h1}^2(x) \varepsilon_1^4 v_0^2(X_1) \left(\frac{1}{nh^d} K(0) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=2}^n K_{h1j} - f(X_1) \right)^4 d\varphi(x) \\ + \frac{n-1}{n} \int EK_{h1,2}(x) v_0(X_1) v_0(X_2) \left(\hat{f}_h(X_1) - f(X_1) \right)^2 \left(\hat{f}_h(X_2) - f(X_2) \right)^2 d\varphi(x).$$

32 For the first term on the right hand side, we can show that it is bounded above by

$$\frac{8K^4(0)}{n^5 h^{4d}} \int EK_{h1}^2(x) \varepsilon_1^4 v_0^2(X_1) d\varphi(x) + \frac{8}{n} \int EK_{h1}^2(x) \varepsilon_1^4 v_0^2(X_1) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=2}^n K_{h1j} - f(X_1) \right)^4 d\varphi(x) \\ \leq O\left(\frac{1}{n^5 h^{5d}}\right) + \frac{8}{n} \int EK_{h1}^2(x) \varepsilon_1^4 v_0^2(X_1) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=2}^n (K_{h1j} - E[K_{h12}|X_1]) \right)^4 d\varphi(x) \\ + \frac{8}{n} \int EK_{h1}^2(x) \varepsilon_1^4 v_0^2(X_1) (E[K_{h12}|X_1] - f(X_1))^4 d\varphi(x) \\ \leq O\left(\frac{1}{n^5 h^{5d}}\right) + \frac{8(n-1)}{n^5} \int EK_{h1}^2(x) \varepsilon_1^4 v_0^2(X_1) (K_{h12} - E[K_{h12}|X_1])^4 d\varphi(x) \\ + O\left(\frac{1}{n^3}\right) \int EK_{h1}^2(x) \varepsilon_1^4 v_0^2(X_1) (K_{h12} - E[K_{h12}|X_1])^2 (K_{h13} - E[K_{h12}|X_1])^2 d\varphi(x) \\ + \frac{8}{n} \int EK_{h1}^2(x) \varepsilon_1^4 v_0^2(X_1) (E[K_{h12}|X_1] - f(X_1))^4 d\varphi(x) \\ = O\left(\frac{1}{n^3 h^{3d}}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{nh^{d-8}}\right).$$

33 For the second term in EA_{n1} , denote $L_{12h}(x) = K_{h1,2}(x) v_0(X_1) v_0(X_2)$ for the sake of brevity,

$$\int EL_{12h}(x) \left(\hat{f}_h(X_1) - f(X_1) \right)^2 \left(\hat{f}_h(X_2) - f(X_2) \right)^2 d\varphi(x) \\ = \int EL_{12h}(x) \left(\frac{1}{nh^d} K(0) + \frac{1}{n} K_{h12} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=3}^n K_{h1j} - f(X_1) \right)^2 \\ \left(\frac{1}{nh^d} K(0) + \frac{1}{n} K_{h12} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1,3}^n K_{h2j} - f(X_2) \right)^2 d\varphi(x) \\ \leq O\left(\frac{1}{n^4 h^{4d}}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2 h^{2d}}\right) \int EL_{12h}(x) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=3}^n K_{h1j} - f(X_1) \right)^2 d\varphi(x) \\ + 4 \int EL_{12h}(x) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=3}^n K_{h1j} - f(X_1) \right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1,3}^n K_{h2j} - f(X_2) \right)^2 d\varphi(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq O\left(\frac{1}{n^2 h^{2d}}\right) \int EL_{12h}(x) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=3}^n [K_{h1j} - E(K_{h1j}|X_1)]\right)^2 d\phi(x) + O\left(\frac{1}{n^4 h^{4d}}\right) \\
&\quad + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2 h^{2d}}\right) \int EL_{12h}(x) \left(\frac{n-2}{n} E(K_{h1j}|X_1) - f(X_1)\right)^2 d\phi(x) \\
&\quad + 16 \int EL_{12h}(x) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=3}^n [K_{h1j} - E(K_{h1j}|X_1)]\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1,3}^n [K_{h2j} - E(K_{h2j}|X_2)]\right)^2 d\phi(x) \\
&\quad + 16 \int EL_{12h}(x) \left(\frac{n-2}{n} E(K_{h1j}|X_1) - f(X_1)\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1,3}^n [K_{h2j} - E(K_{h2j}|X_2)]\right)^2 d\phi(x) \\
&\quad + 16 \int EL_{12h}(x) \left(\frac{n-2}{n} E(K_{h1j}|X_1) - f(X_1)\right)^2 \left(\frac{n-2}{n} E(K_{h2j}|X_2) - f(X_2)\right)^2 d\phi(x) \\
&= O\left(\frac{1}{n^4 h^{4d}}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2 h^{2d-8}}\right) + O(h^8).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$A_{n1} = O_p\left(\frac{1}{n^3 h^{3d}}\right) + O_p\left(\frac{1}{n h^{d-8}}\right) + O_p(h^8).$$

Similarly, one can show that

$$A_{n2} = O_p\left(\frac{1}{n^2 h^{2d}}\right) + O_p\left(\frac{1}{n h^{d-4}}\right) + O_p(h^4).$$

Hence

$$T_{n12}^* = O_p\left(\frac{1}{n^2 h^{2d}}\right) + O_p\left(\frac{1}{n h^{d-4}}\right) + O_p(h^4) = o_p(1).$$

35 For T_{n13}^* , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{n13}^* &= \int_{\mathcal{C}} (\hat{f}_w^2(x) - f^2(x))^2 v_0(x) dG(x) = \int_{\mathcal{C}} ([\hat{f}_w(x) - f(x) + f(x)]^2 - f^2(x))^2 v_0(x) dG(x) \\
&\leq 2 \int_{\mathcal{C}} (\hat{f}_w(x) - f(x))^4 v_0(x) dG(x) + 8 \int_{\mathcal{C}} (\hat{f}_w(x) - f(x))^2 f^2(x) v_0(x) dG(x) \\
&= O_p\left(\frac{1}{n w^d}\right) + O_p(w^4).
\end{aligned}$$

36 In summary, we get $T_{n11}^* = o_p(1)$, hence $T_{n1}^* = o_p(1)$. To show $T_{n2}^* = o_p(1)$, first we note that T_{n2}^*
37 is bounded above by

$$\sup_{x \in \mathcal{C}} \frac{f^6(x)}{\hat{f}_w^6(x)} \cdot \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) (Y_i - m(X_i))(m(X_i) - \hat{m}(X_i)) \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) \right\}^2 d\phi(x).$$

38 Note that the integral can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \int_C \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \varepsilon_i \sqrt{v_0(X_i)} (m(X_i) \hat{f}_h(X_i) - \hat{m}(X_i) \hat{f}_h(X_i)) \hat{f}_h(X_i) \right\}^2 d\varphi(x) \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \int E \left[K_{h1}^2(x) \varepsilon_1^2 v_0(X_1) (m(X_1) \hat{f}_h(X_1) - \hat{m}(X_1) \hat{f}_h(X_1))^2 \hat{f}_h^2(X_1) \right] d\varphi(x) \\
&+ \frac{(n-1)}{n} \int E \left[K_{h1}(x) \varepsilon_1 \sqrt{v_0(X_1)} (m(X_1) \hat{f}_h(X_1) - \hat{m}(X_1) \hat{f}_h(X_1)) \hat{f}_h(X_1) \right. \\
&\quad \left. K_{h2}(x) \varepsilon_2 \sqrt{v_0(X_2)} (m(X_2) \hat{f}_h(X_2) - \hat{m}(X_2) \hat{f}_h(X_2)) \hat{f}_h(X_2) \right] d\varphi(x),
\end{aligned}$$

39 and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \left[K_{h1}^2(x) \varepsilon_1^2 v_0(X_1) (m(X_1) \hat{f}_h(X_1) - \hat{m}(X_1) \hat{f}_h(X_1))^2 \hat{f}_h^2(X_1) \right] \\
&= E \left[K_{h1}^2(x) \varepsilon_1^2 v_0(X_1) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n K_{hj1} [m(X_1) - Y_j] \right)^2 \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n K_{hj1} \right]^2 \right] \\
&\leq 4E \left[K_{h1}^2(x) \varepsilon_1^2 v_0(X_1) \left(\frac{1}{nh^d} K(0) [m(X_1) - Y_1] \right)^2 \left[\frac{1}{nh^d} K(0) \right]^2 \right] \\
&+ 4E \left[K_{h1}^2(x) \varepsilon_1^2 v_0(X_1) \left(\frac{1}{nh^d} K(0) [m(X_1) - Y_1] \right)^2 \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=2}^n K_{hj1} \right]^2 \right] \\
&+ 4E \left[K_{h1}^2(x) \varepsilon_1^2 v_0(X_1) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=2}^n K_{hj1} [m(X_1) - Y_j] \right)^2 \left[\frac{1}{nh^d} K(0) \right]^2 \right] \\
&+ 4E \left[K_{h1}^2(x) \varepsilon_1^2 v_0(X_1) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=2}^n K_{hj1} [m(X_1) - Y_j] \right)^2 \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=2}^n K_{hj1} \right]^2 \right].
\end{aligned}$$

40 Note that

$$E \left[K_{h1}^2(x) \varepsilon_1^2 v_0(X_1) \left(\frac{1}{nh^d} K(0) [m(X_1) - Y_1] \right)^2 \left[\frac{1}{nh^d} K(0) \right]^2 \right] = O \left(\frac{1}{n^4 h^{5d}} \right),$$

41

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \left[K_{h1}^2(x) \varepsilon_1^2 v_0(X_1) \left(\frac{1}{nh^d} K(0) [m(X_1) - Y_1] \right)^2 \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=2}^n K_{hj1} \right]^2 \right] \\
&= \frac{4K^2(0)}{n^4 h^{2d}} \sum_{j=2}^n E \left[K_{h1}^2(x) \varepsilon_1^4 v_0^2(X_1) K_{hj1}^2 \right] + \frac{4K^2(0)}{n^4 h^{2d}} \sum_{j \neq k=2}^n E \left[K_{h1}^2(x) \varepsilon_1^4 v_0^2(X_1) K_{hj1} K_{hk1} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

42

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{4(n-1)K^2(0)}{n^4 h^{2d}} E \left[K_{h1}^2(x) \varepsilon_1^4 v_0^2(X_1) K_{h21}^2 \right] + O \left(\frac{1}{n^2 h^{2d}} \right) E \left[K_{h1}^2(x) \varepsilon_1^4 v_0^2(X_1) K_{h21} K_{h31} \right] \\
&= O \left(\frac{1}{n^3 h^{4d}} \right) + O \left(\frac{1}{n^2 h^{3d}} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

43 and

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \left[K_{h1}^2(x) \varepsilon_1^2 v_0(X_1) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=2}^n K_{hj1} [m(X_1) - Y_j] \right)^2 \left[\frac{1}{nh^d} K(0) \right]^2 \right] \\
&= \frac{K^2(0)}{n^2 h^{2d}} E \left[K_{h1}^2(x) \varepsilon_1^2 v_0(X_1) \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=2}^n K_{hj1} [m(X_1) - m(X_j)] \right]^2 \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{K^2(0)}{n^2 h^{2d}} E \left[K_{h1}^2(x) \varepsilon_1^2 v_0(X_1) \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=2}^n K_{hj1} \sqrt{v(X_j)} \varepsilon_j \right]^2 \right] \\
&= \frac{(n-1)K^2(0)}{n^4 h^{2d}} E [K_{h1}^2(x) \varepsilon_1^2 v_0(X_1) K_{h21}^2 [m(X_1) - m(X_2)]^2] \\
&\quad + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)K^2(0)}{n^4 h^{2d}} E [K_{h1}^2(x) \varepsilon_1^2 v_0(X_1) K_{h21} K_{h21} [m(X_1) - m(X_2)][m(X_1) - m(X_3)]] \\
&\quad + \frac{(n-1)K^2(0)}{n^4 h^{2d}} E [K_{h1}^2(x) \varepsilon_1^2 v_0(X_1) K_{h21}^2 v_0(X_2) \varepsilon_2^2] \\
&= O \left(\frac{1}{n^2 h^{3d-4}} \right) + O \left(\frac{1}{n^3 h^{4d}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

44 Since $d < 4$ as stated earlier in the assumptions, $nh^{d+4} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the above is of order
45 $O(1/(n^2 h^{3d-4}))$. Similarly, we can show that

$$E \left[K_{h1}^2(x) \varepsilon_1^2 v_0(X_1) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=2}^n K_{hj1} [m(X_1) - Y_j] \right)^2 \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=2}^n K_{hj1} \right]^2 \right] = O \left(\frac{1}{h^{d-4}} \right).$$

46

47

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \left[K_{h1}(x) \varepsilon_1 \sqrt{v_0(X_1)} (m(X_1) \hat{f}_h(X_1) - \hat{m}(X_1) \hat{f}_h(X_1)) \hat{f}_h(X_1) \right. \\
&\quad \left. K_{h2}(x) \varepsilon_2 \sqrt{v_0(X_2)} (m(X_2) \hat{f}_h(X_2) - \hat{m}(X_2) \hat{f}_h(X_2)) \hat{f}_h(X_2) \right] \\
&= E \left[K_{h1}(x) \varepsilon_1 \sqrt{v_0(X_1)} \left[\frac{1}{n} K_h(0) \varepsilon_1 \sqrt{v_0(X_1)} + \frac{1}{n} K_{h21} [Y_2 - m(X_1)] \right] \hat{f}_h(X_1) \right. \\
&\quad \left. K_{h2}(x) \varepsilon_2 \sqrt{v_0(X_2)} \left[\frac{1}{nh^d} K(0) \varepsilon_2 \sqrt{v_0(X_2)} + \frac{1}{n} K_{h21} [Y_1 - m(X_2)] \right] \hat{f}_h(X_2) \right] \\
&= \frac{K^2(0)}{n^2 h^{2d}} E \left[K_{h1}(x) K_{h2} v_0(X_1) v_0(X_2) \hat{f}_h(X_1) \hat{f}_h(X_2) \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{n^2} E \left[K_{h1,2}(x) K_{h12}^2 v_0(X_1) v_0(X_2) \hat{f}_h(X_1) \hat{f}_h(X_2) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

which has the order of $O\left(\frac{1}{n^2 h^{2d}}\right)$. Therefore, we eventually get

$$\sup_{x \in \mathcal{C}} \frac{f^6(x)}{\hat{f}_w^6(x)} \cdot \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) (Y_i - m(X_i)) (m(X_i) - \hat{m}(X_i)) \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) \right\}^2 d\varphi(x)$$

48 to be the order of $O(n^{-1}h^{-d+4}) + O(n^{-2}h^{2-d})$, and this implies $T_{n2}^* = o_p(1)$.

49 Finally, let's show that $T_{n3}^* = o_p(1)$. Note that

$$T_{n3}^* \leq \sup_{x \in \mathcal{C}} \frac{f^6(x)}{\hat{f}_w^6(x)} \cdot \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) [m(X_i) - \hat{m}(X_i)]^2 \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) \right\}^2 d\varphi(x).$$

50 We also have

$$\begin{aligned} & E \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) [m(X_i) - \hat{m}(X_i)]^2 \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) \right\}^2 d\varphi(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{\mathcal{C}} E \left\{ K_{h1}^2(x) \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n K_{hj1} [m(X_1) - Y_j] \right]^4 \right\} d\varphi(x) \\ & \quad + \frac{n-1}{n} \int_{\mathcal{C}} E \left\{ K_{h1,2}(x) \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=1}^n K_{hl1} [m(X_1) - Y_l] \right]^2 \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=1}^n K_{hl2} [m(X_2) - Y_l] \right]^2 \right\} d\varphi(x). \end{aligned}$$

51 By C-R inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n} \int_{\mathcal{C}} E \left\{ K_{h1}^2(x) \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n K_{hj1} [m(X_1) - Y_j] \right]^4 \right\} d\varphi(x) \\ & \leq \frac{8}{n} \int_{\mathcal{C}} E \left\{ K_{h1}^2(x) \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n K_{hj1} \varepsilon_j \sqrt{v(X_j)} \right]^4 \right\} d\varphi(x) \\ & \quad + \frac{8}{n} \int_{\mathcal{C}} E \left\{ K_{h1}^2(x) \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=2}^n K_{hj1} [m(X_1) - m(X_j)] \right]^4 \right\} d\varphi(x) = O\left(\frac{1}{n^5 h^{5d}}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{nh^{d-8}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

52 and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{C}} E \left\{ K_{h1,2}(x) \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=1}^n K_{hl1} [m(X_1) - Y_l] \right]^2 \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=1}^n K_{hl2} [m(X_2) - Y_l] \right]^2 \right\} d\varphi(x) \\ & \leq 4 \int_{\mathcal{C}} E \left\{ K_{h1,2}(x) \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=1}^n K_{hl1} [m(X_1) - m(X_l)] \right]^2 \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=1}^n K_{hl2} [m(X_2) - m(X_l)] \right]^2 \right\} d\varphi(x) \\ & \quad + 4 \int_{\mathcal{C}} E \left\{ K_{h1,2}(x) \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=1}^n K_{hl1} \varepsilon_l \sqrt{v(X_l)} \right]^2 \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=1}^n K_{hl2} [m(X_2) - m(X_l)] \right]^2 \right\} d\varphi(x) \\ & \quad + 4 \int_{\mathcal{C}} E \left\{ K_{h1,2}(x) \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=1}^n K_{hl1} [m(X_1) - m(X_l)] \right]^2 \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=1}^n K_{hl2} \varepsilon_l \sqrt{v(X_l)} \right]^2 \right\} d\varphi(x) \\ & \quad + 4 \int_{\mathcal{C}} E \left\{ K_{h1,2}(x) \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=1}^n K_{hl1} \varepsilon_l \sqrt{v(X_l)} \right]^2 \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=1}^n K_{hl2} \varepsilon_l \sqrt{v(X_l)} \right]^2 \right\} d\varphi(x) \end{aligned}$$

53

$$= O(h^8) + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2 h^{2d-4}}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{n^4 h^{4d}}\right).$$

Hence

$$T_{n3}^* = O_p\left(\frac{1}{n^5 h^{5d}}\right) + O_p\left(\frac{1}{n h^{d-8}}\right) + O_p(h^8) + O_p\left(\frac{1}{n^2 h^{2d-4}}\right) + O_p\left(\frac{1}{n^4 h^{4d}}\right) = o_p(1).$$

54

□

The proof of Theorem 3.2. Similar to Koul and Ni (2004), it suffices to show

$$\sup_{\theta} |T_n(\theta) - T_n^*(\theta)| = o_p(1).$$

55 Adding and subtracting $v(X_i, \theta)$ from $(Y_i - \hat{m}(X_i))^2$ inside the integrand of $T_n^*(\theta)$, $T_n^*(\theta)$ can be
56 written as the sum of $T_n(\theta)$, $C_n(\theta)$ which is defined by

$$C_n(\theta) = \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) v(X_i, \theta) \hat{f}_h^2(X_i)}{n \hat{f}_w^3(x)} - v(x, \theta) \right]^2 dG(x),$$

57 and another term which is bounded above in absolute value by $2(C_n(\theta)T_n(\theta))^{1/2}$. Therefore,

$$\sup_{\theta} |T_n^*(\theta) - T_n(\theta)| \leq \sup_{\theta} C_n(\theta) + 2 \sup_{\theta} (C_n(\theta)T_n(\theta))^{1/2}$$

58 It thus suffices to show that $\sup_{\theta} C_n(\theta) = o_p(1)$, and $\sup_{\theta} T_n(\theta) = O_p(1)$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} C_n(\theta) &= \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) v(X_i, \theta) \hat{f}_h^2(X_i)}{\hat{f}_w^3(x)} - v(x, \theta) \right]^2 dG(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) v(X_i, \theta) \hat{f}_h^2(X_i)}{\hat{f}_w(x)} - (\hat{f}_w^2(x) - f^2(x) + f^2(x))v(x, \theta) \right]^2 \hat{f}_w^{-4}(x) dG(x) \\ &\leq 4 \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) v(X_i, \theta) f^2(X_i)}{\hat{f}_w(x)} - f^2(x)v(x, \theta) \right]^2 \hat{f}_w^{-4}(x) dG(x) \\ &\quad + 4 \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) v(X_i, \theta) (\hat{f}_h^2(X_i) - f^2(X_i))}{\hat{f}_w(x)} \right]^2 \hat{f}_w^{-4}(x) dG(x) \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\mathcal{C}} [(\hat{f}_w^2(x) - f^2(x))v(x, \theta)]^2 \hat{f}_w^{-4}(x) dG(x) \\ &:= 4C_{n1}(\theta) + 4C_{n2}(\theta) + 2C_{n3}(\theta) \end{aligned}$$

59 For $C_{n1}(\theta)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{n1}(\theta) &= \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x)v(X_i, \theta)f^2(X_i)}{\hat{f}_w(x)} - f^2(x)v(x, \theta) \right]^2 \hat{f}_w^{-4}(x) dG(x) \\
&\leq \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x)v(X_i, \theta)f^2(X_i) - \hat{f}_w(x)f^2(x)v(x, \theta) \right]^2 \hat{f}_w^{-6}(x) dG(x) \\
&\leq \sup_{x \in \mathcal{C}} \frac{f^6(x)}{\hat{f}_w^6(x)} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x)v(X_i, \theta)f^2(X_i) - \hat{f}_w(x)f^2(x)v(x, \theta) \right]^2 d\varphi(x).
\end{aligned}$$

60 Treating $f^2(x)v(x, \theta)$ as $m(x, \theta)$ and using the same arguments as in [Koul and Ni \(2004\)](#), one can
61 show that $\sup_{\theta} C_{n1}(\theta) = o_p(1)$.

62 To show that $\sup_{\theta} C_{n2}(\theta) = o_p(1)$, first we note that for any fixed $\theta \in \Theta$, $C_{n2}(\theta) = o_p(1)$ which
63 can be proved in the same manner as showing $T_{n12}^* = o_p(1)$ in the proof of [Theorem 3.1](#), so it
64 suffices to show that $C_{n2}(\theta)$ is uniformly continuous in $\theta \in \Theta$. Adding and subtracting $v(X_i, \theta_2)$,
65 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{n2}(\theta_1) &= \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x)v(X_i, \theta_1)(\hat{f}_h^2(X_i) - f^2(X_i)) \right]^2 \hat{f}_w^{-6}(x) dG(x) \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x)(v(X_i, \theta_1) - v(X_i, \theta_2) + v(X_i, \theta_2))(\hat{f}_h^2(X_i) - f^2(X_i)) \right]^2 d\hat{\varphi}_w(x).
\end{aligned}$$

66 Thus $|C_{n2}(\theta_1) - C_{n2}(\theta_2)|$ is bounded above by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{x \in \mathcal{C}} \left| \frac{f^6(x)}{\hat{f}_w^6(x)} \right| \left| \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x)(v(X_i, \theta_1) - v(X_i, \theta_2))(\hat{f}_h^2(X_i) - f^2(X_i)) \right]^2 d\varphi(x) \right. \\
&+ 2 \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x)(v(X_i, \theta_1) - v(X_i, \theta_2))(\hat{f}_h^2(X_i) - f^2(X_i)) \right] \\
&\left. \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x)v(X_i, \theta_2)(\hat{f}_h^2(X_i) - f^2(X_i)) \right] d\varphi(x) \right|
\end{aligned}$$

67 By assumption (v3), $|C_{n2}(\theta_1) - C_{n2}(\theta_2)|$ is further bounded above by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\theta_2 - \theta_1\|^2 \sup_{x \in \mathcal{C}} \left| \frac{f^6(x)}{\hat{f}_w^6(x)} \right| \left| \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x)|\hat{f}_h^2(X_i) - f^2(X_i)|l(X_i) \right]^2 d\varphi(x) \right. \\
&+ \|\theta_2 - \theta_1\| \sup_{x \in \mathcal{C}} \left| \frac{f^6(x)}{\hat{f}_w^6(x)} \right| \left| \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x)|\hat{f}_h^2(X_i) - f^2(X_i)|l(X_i) \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. \cdot \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x)|\hat{f}_h^2(X_i) - f^2(X_i)|v(X_i, \theta_2) \right] d\varphi(x) \right| \quad (2.1)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that for a fixed $\theta_3 \in \Theta$ which is compact, there exist a non-negative function L such that

$$|v(\theta_2, X_i) - v(\theta_3, X_i) + v(\theta_3, X_i)| \leq \|\theta_2 - \theta_3\|l(X_i) + v(\theta_3, X_i) \leq L(X_i)$$

With similar argument in T_{n2}^* , we know the integration on the right hand side (RHS) of (2.1) is the order of $o_p(1)$. Thus it suffices to show that

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) |\hat{f}_h^2(X_i) - f^2(X_i)| l(X_i) \right] \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) |\hat{f}_h^2(X_i) - f^2(X_i)| L(X_i) \right] d\varphi(x)$$

68 has the order of $o_p(1)$. Taking expectation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & E \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) |\hat{f}_h^2(X_i) - f^2(X_i)| l(X_i) \right] \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) |\hat{f}_h^2(X_i) - f^2(X_i)| L(X_i) \right] d\varphi(x) \\ &= E \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}^2(x) |\hat{f}_h^2(X_i) - f^2(X_i)|^2 l(X_i) L(X_i) \right] \\ & \quad + \left[\frac{2}{n^2} \sum_{i < j} K_{hi,j}(x) L(X_i) l(X_j) |\hat{f}_h^2(X_i) - f^2(X_i)| |\hat{f}_h^2(X_j) - f^2(X_j)| \right] d\varphi(x) := C_1 + C_2 \end{aligned}$$

69 Note that

$$C_1 = \frac{1}{n} \int_{\mathcal{C}} E \left[K_{h1}^2(x) |\hat{f}_h^2(X_1) - f^2(X_1)|^2 l(X_1) L(X_1) \right] d\varphi(x).$$

70 Recall A_{n1} , with exact same technique, adding and subtracting $f(x)$ inside $\hat{f}_h^2(x)$, and then adding
71 and subtracting $E(K_h(X_2 - X_1)|X_1)$ in the sum, while functions K, l, L, f are continuous and
72 bounded, we get $C_1 = o(1)$

73 Next by Cauchy-Schwartz Inequality, C_2 is bounded above by

$$c \int_{\mathcal{C}} \sqrt{EK_{h1}^2(x)L^2(X_1)(\hat{f}_h^2(X_1) - f^2(X_1))^2} \sqrt{EK_{h2}^2(x)L^2(X_2)(\hat{f}_h^2(X_2) - f^2(X_2))^2} d\varphi(x)$$

74 for a constant c . Again, with similar technique applied to A_{n1} , and $nh^{2d} \rightarrow \infty$, $C_2 = o(1)$.
75 Together we know that the second part is $o_p(1)$, and this proves uniform continuity of $C_{n2}(\theta)$.

76 Since $v(x, \theta)$ is bounded on the compact set $\mathcal{C} \times \Theta$, so $\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} C_{n3}(\theta) = o_p(1)$ is straightforward.

77 Next, adding and subtracting $v_0(X_i)$ in $T_n(\theta)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T_n(\theta) &\leq 2 \sup_{x \in \mathcal{C}} \frac{f^6(x)}{\hat{f}_w^6(x)} \left\{ \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) [v_0(X_i) - v(X_i, \theta)] \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) \right]^2 d\varphi(x) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) [(Y_i - \hat{m}(X_i))^2 - v_0(X_i)] \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) \right]^2 d\varphi(x) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

78 Note that the second term on the RHS of the above expression is indeed $T_n(\theta_0)$ which is $o_p(1)$.
 79 Finally we can show that for a positive constant c ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) [v_0(X_i) - v(X_i, \theta)] \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) \right]^2 d\varphi(x) \\ & \leq c \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) l(X_i) \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) \right]^2 d\varphi(x) \end{aligned}$$

80 which is $O_p(1)$ by the compactness of Θ . This concludes proof of $\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} T_n(\theta) = O_p(1)$, hence the
 81 proof of Theorem 3.2. \square

82 To show the asymptotic normality of $\hat{\theta}_n$, we introduce the following notations, for the sake of
 83 convenience, and they maybe used in the context that follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mu}_n(x, \theta) &:= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta) f^2(X_i), \\ \dot{\mu}_n(x, \theta_0) &:= \dot{\mu}_n(x), \\ \dot{\mu}_h(x) &:= E \dot{\mu}_n(x, \theta_0) = EK_h(x - X) \dot{v}(\theta_0, X) f^2(X) \\ \Sigma_n(b) &= \int [b^T \dot{\mu}_n(x, \theta_0)]^2 d\varphi(x) \\ U_n(x, \theta) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) [(Y_i - \hat{m}(X_i))^2 - v(X_i, \theta)]^2 \hat{f}_h^2(X_i), \\ U_n(x) &:= U_n(x, \theta_0), \\ D_n(\theta) &:= \int Z_n^2(x, \theta) d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) := \int \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) (v(X_i, \theta_0) - v(X_i, \theta)) \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) \right]^2 d\hat{\varphi}_w(x), \\ \xi(X_i) &:= (Y_i - m(X_i))^2 - v_0(X_i). \end{aligned}$$

84 We will use $\Delta_{ig} := \hat{g}(X_i) - g(X_i)$ to denote any difference between the function at sample point
 85 X_i and its approximation at point X_i . The approximation methods are either kernel smoothing
 86 estimates for densities and N-W estimates for regression functions.

87 *The proof of Theorem 3.3.* First show $nh^d \|\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0\|^2 = O_P(1)$. To proceed, we could show that
 88 $nh^d D_n(\hat{\theta}_n) = O_p(1)$. Note that by adding and subtracting $(Y_i - \hat{m}(X_i))^2$, we can see that $D_n(\hat{\theta}_n)$
 89 is bounded above by $2[T_n(\theta_0) + T_n(\hat{\theta}_n)]$, where

$$T_n(\theta) = \int \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) ((Y_i - \hat{m}(X_i))^2 - v(X_i, \theta)) \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) \right]^2 d\hat{\varphi}_w(x).$$

90 It suffices to show that $nh^d T_n(\theta_0) = O_p(1)$, together by definition, $\hat{\theta}_n = \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta \in \Theta} T_n(\theta)$, we know
 91 that $nh^d T_n(\hat{\theta}_n) = O_p(1)$, and therefore $nh^d \|\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0\|^2 = O_P(1)$. Adding and subtracting $m(X_i)$

$$\begin{aligned}
nh^d T_n(\theta_0) &= nh^d \int \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) ((Y_i - \hat{m}(X_i))^2 - v_0(X_i)) \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) \right]^2 d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\
&\leq 3nh^d \int \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) ((Y_i - m(X_i))^2 - v_0(X_i)) \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) \right]^2 d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\
&\quad + 6nh^d \int \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) ((Y_i - m(X_i))(m(X_i) - \hat{m}(X_i)) \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) \right]^2 d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\
&\quad + 3nh^d \int \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) (m(X_i) - \hat{m}(X_i))^2 \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) \right]^2 d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\
&:= 3nh^d T_{n1}(\theta_0) + 6nh^d T_{n2}(\theta_0) + 3nh^d T_{n3}(\theta_0).
\end{aligned}$$

92 Note that:

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{n1}(\theta_0) &= \int \left[\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) (\varepsilon_i^2 - 1) v_0(X_i) \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) \right]^2 d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\
&= \int \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}^2(x) (\varepsilon_i^2 - 1)^2 v_0^2(X_i) \hat{f}_h^4(X_i) d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\
&\quad + \int \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i \neq j} K_{hi}(x) K_{hj}(x) (\varepsilon_i^2 - 1) (\varepsilon_j^2 - 1) v_0(X_i) v_0(X_j) \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) \hat{f}_h^2(X_j) d\hat{\varphi}_w(x).
\end{aligned}$$

93

$$\begin{aligned}
ET_{n1}(\theta_0) &= \int \frac{1}{n} E[K_{h1}^2(x) E((\varepsilon_1^2 - 1)^2 | X_1) v_0^2(X_1) \hat{f}_h^4(X_1)] d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\
&\quad + \int \frac{n(n-1)}{n^2} E[K_{h1,2}(x) E((\varepsilon_1^2 - 1) | X_1) E((\varepsilon_2^2 - 1) | X_2) v_0(X_1) v_0(X_2) \hat{f}_h^2(X_1) \hat{f}_h^2(X_2)] d\hat{\varphi}_w(x)
\end{aligned}$$

94 which is the order of $O\left(\frac{1}{nh^d}\right)$. The second term equals to zero under null assumption and the
95 independence between any paired X_i 's, therefore we have $nh^d T_{n1}(\theta_0) = O_p(1)$. Also it is easy to see
96 that $T_{n2}(\theta_0) = T_{n2}^*(\theta_0)$, and $T_{n3}(\theta_0) = T_{n3}^*(\theta_0)$. Utilizing the previous result, with the restriction
97 that $d < 4$, we could see that $nh^d T_n(\theta_0) = O_p(1)$, thus leading to $nh^d D_n(\hat{\theta}_n) = O_p(1)$.

98 Next we shall show that $\forall 0 < a < \infty, \exists N_a$, such that

$$P\left(\frac{D_n(\hat{\theta}_n)}{\|\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0\|^2} \geq a + \inf_{\|b\|=1} b^T \Sigma_0 b\right) > 1 - a \quad (2.2)$$

99 The claim will follow with the $nh^d D_n(\hat{\theta}_n) = O_p(1)$, and the fact that Σ_0 is positive definite. Let
100 $u_n := (\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0)$, $d_{ni} := v(\hat{\theta}_n, X_i) - v(X_i, \theta_0) - u_n^T \dot{v}(X_i, \theta_0)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then $D_n(\hat{\theta}_n) / \|\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0\|^2$

101 can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \left(\frac{\dot{v}(X_i, \theta_0) - \dot{v}(\hat{\theta}_n, X_i) + u_n^T \dot{v}(X_i, \theta_0) - u_n^T \dot{v}(X_i, \theta_0)}{\|\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0\|} \right) \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) \right]^2 d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\
&= \int \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \left(\frac{\dot{v}(X_i, \theta_0) - \dot{v}(\hat{\theta}_n, X_i) - u_n^T \dot{v}(X_i, \theta_0)}{\|\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0\|} \right) \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) \right]^2 d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\
&+ \int \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \left(\frac{u_n^T \dot{v}(X_i, \theta_0)}{\|\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0\|} \right) \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) \right]^2 d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\
&+ 2 \int \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \left(\frac{d_{ni}}{\|u_n\|} \right) \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) \right] \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \left(\frac{u_n^T \dot{v}(X_i, \theta_0)}{\|u_n\|} \right) \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) \right] d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\
&:= \int \bar{D}_{n1}^2 d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) + \int \bar{D}_{n2}^2 d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) + 2 \int \bar{D}_{n1} \bar{D}_{n2} d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\
&\geq \int \bar{D}_{n1}^2 d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) + \int \bar{D}_{n2}^2 d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) - 2 \sqrt{\int \bar{D}_{n1}^2 d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \int \bar{D}_{n2}^2 d\hat{\varphi}_w(x)} \\
&:= D_{n1} + D_{n2} - 2\sqrt{D_{n1} D_{n2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

102 From the above derivation, it suffices to show that D_{n2} is bounded above, bounded below by
103 $\inf_{\|b\|=1} \Sigma_n(b)$, and $D_{n1} = o_p(1)$. By Cauchy-Schwartz inequality,

$$D_{n2} = \int \left[\frac{u_n^T \dot{\mu}_n(x, \theta_0)}{\|u_n\|} \right]^2 d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \leq \int \dot{\mu}_n^T(x, \theta_0) \dot{\mu}_n(x, \theta_0) d\hat{\varphi}_w(x).$$

104 With K , \dot{v} , f bounded above, we know that D_{n2} is bounded above. By assumption (v4), and the
105 fact that $\hat{\theta}_n$ is a consistent estimator of θ , we know $D_{n1} = o_p(1)$.

106 Next we show $D_{n2} \geq \inf_{\|b\|=1} \Sigma_n(b)$, and $\Sigma_n(b) \rightarrow b^T \Sigma b$, for any q dimension vector b .

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int \left[b^T \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta_0) \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) \right]^2 d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\
&= b^T \int \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta_0) \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) \right] \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta_0) \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) \right]^T d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) b.
\end{aligned}$$

107 Plus and minus $f^2(X_i)$ after $\hat{f}_h^2(X_i)$ gives the following,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta_0) \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) \right] \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta_0) \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) \right]^T \\
&= \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta_0) (\hat{f}_h^2(X_i) - f^2(X_i)) \right] \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta_0) (\hat{f}_h^2(X_i) - f^2(X_i)) \right]^T
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +2 \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta_0) (\hat{f}_h^2(X_i) - f^2(X_i)) \right] \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta_0) f^2(X_i) \right]^T \\
& + \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta_0) f^2(X_i) \right] \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta_0) f^2(X_i) \right]^T.
\end{aligned}$$

109 As proved in A_{n1} and A_{n2} , with \dot{v} continuous and bounded, we know the first two term on the
110 RHS goes to zero in probability. Also note that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta_0) f^2(X_i) = f^3(x) \dot{v}(x, \theta)$$

111 and the fact that $\sup_x |f^6(x) \hat{f}_w^{-6}(x) - 1| = o_p(1)$, this concludes $\Sigma_n(b) \rightarrow b^T \Sigma b$.

112 Now we will show that $\Sigma_n(b)$ is uniform continuous in b . For any $\delta > 0$ and any two unit vectors
113 b_1, b_2 , such that $\|b_1 - b_2\| \leq \delta$, we see that $|\Sigma_n(b_1) - \Sigma_n(b_2)|$ is bounded above by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{x \in \mathcal{C}} \left| \frac{f^6(x)}{\hat{f}_w^6(x)} \left| \int_{\mathcal{C}} [b_1^T \dot{\mu}_n(x, \theta_0)]^2 d\varphi(x) - \int_{\mathcal{C}} [b_2^T \dot{\mu}_n(x, \theta_0)]^2 d\varphi(x) \right| \right| \\
& = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{C}} \left| \frac{f^6(x)}{\hat{f}_w^6(x)} \left| \int_{\mathcal{C}} [(b_1 - b_2)^T \dot{\mu}_n(x, \theta_0)]^2 d\varphi(x) + 2 \int_{\mathcal{C}} [(b_1 - b_2)^T \dot{\mu}_n(x, \theta_0)] [b_2^T \dot{\mu}_n(x, \theta_0)] d\varphi(x) \right| \right| \\
& \leq \delta(\delta + 2) \sup_{x \in \mathcal{C}} \left| \frac{f^6(x)}{\hat{f}_w^6(x)} \right| \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta_0) \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) \right]^2 d\varphi(x).
\end{aligned}$$

114 Again, add and subtract $f^2(X_i)$ after $\hat{f}_h^2(X_i)$, similarly we can show that $\sup_{\|b\|=1} |\Sigma_n(b_1) -$
115 $b^T \Sigma_0 b| = o_p(1)$. This, together with the fact that $D_{n2} \geq \inf_{\|b\|=1} \Sigma_n(b)$, implies that $nh^d \|\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0\|^2 =$
116 $O_p(1)$ by routine arguments.

117 Note that $\hat{\theta}_n$ satisfies the equation $\partial T_n(\theta) / \partial \theta|_{\theta=\hat{\theta}_n} = 0$. Adding and subtracting $v_0(X_i)$ inside
118 $U_n(x, \theta)$, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} U_n(x) \dot{\mu}_n(x, \hat{\theta}_n) d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) = \int_{\mathcal{C}} Z_n(x, \hat{\theta}_n) \dot{\mu}_n(x, \hat{\theta}_n) d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \quad (2.3)$$

120 We may discover that the left hand side (LHS) of (2.3) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) [(Y_i - m(X_i) + m(X_i) - \hat{m}(X_i))^2 - v_0(X_i)] [\hat{f}_h^2(X_i) - f^2(X_i) + f^2(X_i)] \right] \\
& \quad \cdot \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta) [\hat{f}_h^2(X_i) - f^2(X_i) + f^2(X_i)] \right] d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\
& = \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) [\xi(X_i) + (m(X_i) - \hat{m}(X_i))^2 + 2\varepsilon_i \sqrt{v_0(X_i)} (m(X_i) - \hat{m}(X_i))] \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \cdot [\hat{f}_h^2(X_i) - f^2(X_i) + f^2(X_i)] \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta) [\hat{f}_h(X_i) - f(X_i) + f(X_i)]^2 \right] d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\
:= & \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) [\xi(X_i) + \Delta_{im}^2 + 2\varepsilon_i \sqrt{v_0(X_i, \theta)} \Delta_{im}] [\hat{f}_h^2(X_i) - f^2(X_i) + f^2(X_i)] \right] \\
& \cdot \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}_0(X_i) [\Delta_{if}^2 + f^2(X_i) + 2\Delta_{if} f(X_i)] \right] d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\
= & \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) [\xi(X_i) f^2(X_i)] \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta) f^2(X_i) \right\} d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\
& + 2 \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) [\xi(X_i) f(X_i) \Delta_{if}] \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta) f^2(X_i) \right\} d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\
& + \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) [\xi(X_i) \Delta_{if}^2] \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta) f^2(X_i) \right\} d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\
& + 2 \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) [\varepsilon_i \sqrt{v_0(X_i)} \Delta_{im} \hat{f}_h(X_i) f(X_i)] \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta) f^2(X_i) \right\} d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\
& + 2 \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) [\varepsilon_i \sqrt{v_0(X_i)} \Delta_{im} \hat{f}_h(X_i) \Delta_{if}] \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta) f^2(X_i) \right\} d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\
& + \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) [\Delta_{im}^2 \hat{f}_h^2(X_i)] \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta) f^2(X_i) \right\} d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\
& + \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) [\xi(X_i) f^2(X_i)] \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta) \Delta_{if}^2 \right\} d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\
& + 2 \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) [\xi(X_i) f(X_i) \Delta_{if}] \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta) \Delta_{if}^2 \right\} d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\
& + \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) [\xi(X_i) \Delta_{if}^2] \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta) \Delta_{if}^2 \right\} d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\
& + 2 \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) [\varepsilon_i \sqrt{v_0(X_i)} \Delta_{im} \hat{f}_h(X_i) f(X_i)] \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta) \Delta_{if}^2 \right\} d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\
& + 2 \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) [\varepsilon_i \sqrt{v_0(X_i)} \Delta_{im} \hat{f}_h(X_i) \Delta_{if}] \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta) \Delta_{if}^2 \right\} d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\
& + \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) [\Delta_{im}^2 \hat{f}_h^2(X_i)] \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta) \Delta_{if}^2 \right\} d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\
& + 2 \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) [\xi(X_i) f^2(X_i)] \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta) f(X_i) \Delta_{if} \right\} d\hat{\varphi}_w(x)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +4 \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) [\xi(X_i) f(X_i) \Delta_{if}] \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta) f(X_i) \Delta_{if} \right\} d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\
& +2 \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) [\xi(X_i) \Delta_{if}^2] \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta) f(X_i) \Delta_{if} \right\} d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\
& +4 \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) [\varepsilon_i \sqrt{v_0(X_i)} \Delta_{im} \hat{f}_h(X_i) f(X_i)] \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta) f(X_i) \Delta_{if} \right\} d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\
& +4 \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) [\varepsilon_i \sqrt{v_0(X_i)} \Delta_{im} \hat{f}_h(X_i) \Delta_{if}] \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta) f(X_i) \Delta_{if} \right\} d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\
& +2 \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) [\Delta_{im}^2 \hat{f}_h^2(X_i)] \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta) f(X_i) \Delta_{if} \right\} d\hat{\varphi}_w(x).
\end{aligned}$$

123 For the sake of convenience, we will denote these 18 terms as S_{n1}, \dots, S_{n18} .

124 Next, we would, on each term S_{n1} to S_{n6} , add and subtract $\dot{\mu}_n(x, \theta)$, $\dot{\mu}_n(x, \theta_0)$ after $\dot{\mu}_h(x, \theta_0)$,
125 add and subtract $f^{-6}(x)$ to $\hat{\varphi}_w(x)$. The main structure after such derivation are denoted with \tilde{S} .
126 For the rest, we could show that after multiplying by \sqrt{n} , they converges to zero fast enough so
127 that no component is left for variance. First note that,

$$\begin{aligned}
n\tilde{S}_{n2}^2 &= n \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \xi(X_i) f(X_i) \Delta_{if} \right] \dot{\mu}_h(x, \theta_0) d\varphi(x) \right)^2 \\
&= n \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) K_{hi}(y) \xi^2(X_i) f^2(X_i) (\hat{f}_h(X_i) - f(X_i))^2 \right] \dot{\mu}_h(x, \theta_0) \dot{\mu}_h(y, \theta_0) d\varphi(x) d\varphi(y) \\
&\quad + n \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i \neq j}^n K_{hi}(x) K_{hi}(y) \xi(X_i) \xi(X_j) f(X_i) f(X_j) (\hat{f}_h(X_i) - f(X_i)) (\hat{f}_h(X_j) - f(X_j)) \right] \\
&\quad \cdot \dot{\mu}_h(x, \theta_0) \dot{\mu}_h(y, \theta_0) d\varphi(x) d\varphi(y).
\end{aligned}$$

128 Note that by taking conditional expectation on X_i , and X_j respectively, the cross product term
129 equals to zero under the Null hypothesis. Note that every element inside the integral with random
130 variable X_i 's are positive, by Fubini's theorem, adding and subtracting $E[K_{hi1}|X_1]$, we see that
131 $En\tilde{S}_{n2}^2$ equals

$$\begin{aligned}
& n \int_{\mathcal{C}} E \left[\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) K_{hi}(y) \xi^2(X_i) f^2(X_i) (\hat{f}_h(X_i) - f(X_i))^2 \right] \dot{\mu}_h(x, \theta_0) \dot{\mu}_h(y, \theta_0) d\varphi(x) d\varphi(y) \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{C}} E \left[K_{h1}(x) K_{h1}(y) \xi_1^2 f^2(X_1) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=2}^n K_{hi1} - E(K_{hi1}|X_1) \right)^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2K_{h1}(x) K_{h1}(y) \xi_1^2 f^2(X_1) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=2}^n K_{hi1} - E(K_{hi1}|X_1) \right) \left(E(K_{hi1}|X_1) - f(X_1) \right) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

132

$$\begin{aligned}
& +K_{h1}(x)K_{h1}(y)\xi^2(X_1)f^2(X_1)\left(E(K_{hi1}|X_1) - f(X_1)\right)^2 \Big] \dot{\mu}_h(x, \theta_0)\dot{\mu}_h(y, \theta_0)d\varphi(x)d\varphi(y) + O\left(\frac{1}{nh^d}\right) \\
& = O\left(\frac{1}{nh^{2d}}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2h^{3d}}\right) + O(h^4)
\end{aligned}$$

133 where the last equality comes from $\dot{\mu}_h(x, \theta_0)$ and $\dot{\mu}_h(y, \theta_0)$ is finite on \mathcal{C} . For $S_{n3}^2, S_{n4}^2, S_{n5}^2$, we
134 may observe the similar pattern where the expectation of cross product term equals zero when
135 conditioned on X_i, X_j . So, for simplicity, we will show the form of $nS_{n3}^2, nS_{n4}^2, nS_{n5}^2$ where they all
136 converges to zero.

137 Next, for nS_{n3}^2 , add and subtract $E(K_h(X_j - X_i)|X_1)$ in $(\hat{f}_{hij})^4$ of the $En\tilde{S}_{n3}^2$ will gives us the
138 following derivation.

139 Since,

$$\begin{aligned}
n\tilde{S}_{n3}^2 = n \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x)\xi(X_i)(\hat{f}_h(X_i) - f(X_i))^2 \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(y)\xi(X_i)(\hat{f}_h(X_i) - f(X_i))^2 \right\} \\
\cdot \dot{\mu}_h(x, \theta_0)\dot{\mu}_h(y, \theta_0)d\varphi(x)d\varphi(y)
\end{aligned}$$

140 Therefore, we may calculate the expectation,

$$\begin{aligned}
En\tilde{S}_{n3}^2 & = \int_{\mathcal{C}} EK_{h1}(x)K_{h1}(y)\xi^2(X_1)(\hat{f}_h(X_i) - f(X_1))^4 \dot{\mu}_h(x, \theta_0)\dot{\mu}_h(x, \theta_0)d\varphi(x)d\varphi(y) \\
& \leq 8 \int_{\mathcal{C}} EK_{h1}(x)K_{h1}(y)\xi^2(X_1)\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n K_{hj1} - E(K_{hj1}|X_1)\right)^4 \dot{\mu}_h(x, \theta_0)\dot{\mu}_h(x, \theta_0)d\varphi(x)d\varphi(y) \\
& \quad + 8 \int_{\mathcal{C}} EK_{h1}(x)K_{h1}(y)\xi^2(X_1)(E(K_{hj1}|X_1) - f(X_1))^4 \dot{\mu}_h(x, \theta_0)\dot{\mu}_h(x, \theta_0)d\varphi(x)d\varphi(y).
\end{aligned}$$

141 Then similar to A_{n1} , we know that $nS_{n3}^2 = O_p((n^3h^{3d})^{-1}) + O_p((nh^{d-8})^{-1})$.

142

$$\begin{aligned}
En\tilde{S}_{n4}^2 & = \int_{\mathcal{C}} E \left[K_{hi}(x)K_{hi}(y)\varepsilon_1^2 v_0(X_1) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n K_{hj1}(Y_j - m(X_1)) \right)^2 f^2(X_i) \right] \\
& \quad \cdot \dot{\mu}_h(x, \theta_0)\dot{\mu}_h(x, \theta_0)d\varphi(x)d\varphi(y)
\end{aligned}$$

143 And by technique similar to that of T_{n2}^* , we may show that the order is $O(h^4) + O(1/(n^2h^{2d-4}))$.

$$\begin{aligned}
En\tilde{S}_{n5}^2 & = \int_{\mathcal{C}} EK_{hi}(x)K_{hi}(y)\varepsilon_i^2 v_0(X_i) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hj1}(m(X_j) - m(X_1) + \varepsilon_j \sqrt{v_0(X_j)}) \right)^2 \\
& \quad (\hat{f}_h(X_i) - f(X_i))^2 \dot{\mu}_h(x, \theta_0)\dot{\mu}_h(x, \theta_0)d\varphi(x)d\varphi(y) \\
& = O(h^8) + O\left(\frac{1}{nh^{d-4}}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2n^{2d}}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

144

145

Also note that $En\tilde{S}_{n6}^2$ equals

$$\begin{aligned}
& n \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) [\Delta_{im}^2 \hat{f}_h^2(X_i)] \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(y) [\Delta_{im}^2 \hat{f}_h^2(X_i)] \right\} \dot{\mu}(x, \theta_0) \dot{\mu}(y, \theta_0) d\varphi(x) d\varphi(y) \\
&= n \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(y) \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n K_{hij}(Y_j - m(X_i)) \right]^2 \right\} \\
&\quad \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n K_{hij}(Y_j - m(X_i)) \right]^2 \right\} \dot{\mu}(x, \theta_0) \dot{\mu}(y, \theta_0) d\varphi(x) d\varphi(y) \\
&= n \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) K_{hi}(y) \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n K_{hij}(Y_j - m(X_i)) \right]^4 \right\} \dot{\mu}(x, \theta_0) \dot{\mu}(y, \theta_0) d\varphi(x) d\varphi(y) \\
&+ n \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i \neq k}^n K_{hi}(x) K_{hj}(y) \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n K_{hij}(Y_j - m(X_i)) \right]^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. \cdot \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n K_{hki}(Y_k - m(X_i)) \right]^2 \right\} \dot{\mu}(x, \theta_0) \dot{\mu}(y, \theta_0) d\varphi(x) d\varphi(y) \\
&:= nS_{n61} + nS_{n62}
\end{aligned}$$

146

First we have

$$\begin{aligned}
EnS_{n61} &= \int_{\mathcal{C}} E \left\{ K_{h1}(x) K_{h1}(y) \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n K_{hj1}(Y_j - m(X_1)) \right]^4 \right\} \dot{\mu}(x, \theta_0) \dot{\mu}(y, \theta_0) d\varphi(x) d\varphi(y) \\
&\leq 8 \int_{\mathcal{C}} E \left\{ K_{h1}(x) K_{h1}(y) \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n K_{hj1}(m(X_j) - m(X_1)) \right]^4 \right\} \dot{\mu}(x, \theta_0) \dot{\mu}(y, \theta_0) d\varphi(x) d\varphi(y) \\
&\quad + 8 \int_{\mathcal{C}} E \left\{ K_{h1}(x) K_{h1}(y) \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n K_{hj1}(\varepsilon_j \sqrt{v_0(X_j)}) \right]^4 \right\} \dot{\mu}(x, \theta_0) \dot{\mu}(y, \theta_0) d\varphi(x) d\varphi(y) \\
&:= O\left(\frac{1}{n^3 h^{3d}}\right) + O(h^8).
\end{aligned}$$

147

With similar technique, we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
EnS_{n62} &= n \int_{\mathcal{C}} E \left\{ \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i \neq k}^n K_{hi}(x) K_{hj}(y) \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n K_{hij}(Y_j - m(X_i)) \right]^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. \cdot \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n K_{hki}(Y_k - m(X_i)) \right]^2 \right\} \dot{\mu}(x, \theta_0) \dot{\mu}(y, \theta_0) d\varphi(x) d\varphi(y) \\
&= O(nh^8) + O\left(\frac{1}{nh^{2d-4}}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{n^3 h^{4d}}\right) = o_p(1).
\end{aligned}$$

148

The last inequality is obtained from assumption (h2), which further induce the dimension restric-

149

tion.

150 Next, we focus on $n\tilde{S}_{n8}^2$ to $n\tilde{S}_{n12}^2$, and $n\tilde{S}_{n14}^2$ to $n\tilde{S}_{n18}^2$ first. Since we have shown that $n\tilde{S}_{n2}^2$ to
 151 $n\tilde{S}_{n6}^2$ are $o_p(1)$, it would be easy to show that all those terms are bounded above by $n\tilde{S}_{n2}^2$ to $n\tilde{S}_{n6}^2$,
 152 respectively, and then it suffices to show that $n\tilde{S}_{n7}^2$ and $n\tilde{S}_{n13}^2$ are $o_p(1)$. $n\tilde{S}_{n1}^2$ will be of order
 153 $O_p(1)$.

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{n}\tilde{S}_{n8} &= \int_{\mathcal{C}} \sqrt{n} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) [\xi(X_i) f(X_i) \Delta_{if}] \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta) \Delta_{if}^2 \right\} d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{C}} \sqrt{n} \left\{ \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}^2(x) \xi(X_i) f(X_i) \Delta_{if}^3 \dot{v}(X_i, \theta_0) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq i}^n K_{hi}(x) K_{hj}(x) \xi(X_i) f(X_i) \dot{v}(X_j, \theta_0) \Delta_{if}^2 \Delta_{jf} \right\} d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) := S_{n81} + S_{n82}.\end{aligned}$$

154

155 Note that $ES_{n81} = ES_{n82} = 0$, so it suffices to show $E(S_{n81}^2) = o(1)$ and $E(S_{n82}^2) = o(1)$. By
 156 Fubini's Theorem, and the fact that $E(\xi(X_1)|X_1) = 0$, $E(S_{n81}^2)$ equals

$$\begin{aligned}& \frac{1}{n^3} \int_{\mathcal{C}} E \left(\sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}^2(x) \xi(X_i) f(X_i) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n K_{hij} - f(X_i) \right)^3 \right)^2 d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^3} \int_{\mathcal{C}} n E \left(K_{h1}^4(x) \xi^2(X_1) f^2(X_1) \dot{v}^2(X_1, \theta) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n K_{h1j} - f(X_1) \right)^6 \right) d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \int_{\mathcal{C}} E K_{h1}^4(x) \xi^2(X_1) f^2(X_1) \dot{v}^2(X_1, \theta) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n K_{h1j} - E(K_{h1j}|X_1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + E(K_{h1j}|X_1) - f(X_1) \right)^6 d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\ &\leq \frac{32}{n^2} \int_{\mathcal{C}} E K_{h1}^4(x) \xi^2(X_1) f^2(X_1) \dot{v}^2(X_1, \theta) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n K_{h1j} - E(K_{h1j}|X_1) \right)^6 d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\ &\quad + \frac{32}{n^2} \int_{\mathcal{C}} E K_{h1}^4(x) \xi^2(X_1) f^2(X_1) \dot{v}^2(X_1, \theta) (E(K_{h1j}|X_1) - f(X_1))^6 d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\ &= \frac{32}{n^8} \int_{\mathcal{C}} E K_{h1}^4(x) \xi^2(X_1) f^2(X_1) \dot{v}^2(X_1, \theta) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n (K_{h1j} - E(K_{h1j}|X_1))^6 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j \neq k \neq l}^n (K_{h1j} - E(K_{h1j}|X_1))^2 (K_{h1k} - E(K_{h1k}|X_1))^2 (K_{h1l} - E(K_{h1l}|X_1))^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j \neq k}^n (K_{h1j} - E(K_{h1j}|X_1))^4 (K_{h1k} - E(K_{h1k}|X_1))^2 \right) d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) + O(h^{12}/n^2) \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{n^7 h^7 d}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{n^6 h^6 d}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{n^5 h^5 d}\right) + O\left(\frac{h^{12}}{n^2}\right).\end{aligned}$$

157 For S_{n82} , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E(S_{n82}^2) &= \frac{1}{n^3} \int_{\mathcal{C}} E \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq i}^n K_{hi}(x) K_{hj}(x) \xi(X_i) f(X_i) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta) \Delta_{if} \Delta_{jf}^2 \right)^2 d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\
&= \frac{1}{n^3} \int_{\mathcal{C}} E \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq i}^n K_{hi}^2(x) K_{hj}^2(x) \xi^2(X_i) f^2(X_i) \dot{v}^2(X_i, \theta) \Delta_{if}^2 \Delta_{jf}^4 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq i}^n \sum_{k \neq j \neq i}^n K_{hi}^2(x) K_{hj}(x) K_{hk}(x) \xi^2(X_i) f^2(X_i) \dot{v}(X_j, \theta) \dot{v}(X_k, \theta) \Delta_{if}^2 \Delta_{jf}^2 \Delta_{kf}^2 \right) d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\
&= O\left(\frac{1}{n^4 h^{4d}}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{n^3 h^{3d}}\right) + O\left(\frac{h^{12}}{n}\right) + O(h^{12}).
\end{aligned}$$

158 Thus we have,

$$\left(\sqrt{n} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \xi(X_i) f(X_i) \Delta_{if} \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta) \Delta_{if} f(X_i) \right\} d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \right)^2 = o_p(1).$$

159

160 Next we will show $n\tilde{S}_{n13}^2$ converges to zero, and $n\tilde{S}_{n7}^2 = o_p(1)$ will follow similarly. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
n\tilde{S}_{n13}^2 &= n \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) [\xi(X_i) f^2(X_i)] \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta) f(X_i) \Delta_{if} \right\} d\varphi(x) \right)^2 \\
&= n \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) [\xi(X_i) f^2(X_i)] \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(y) [\xi(X_i) f^2(X_i)] \right\} \\
&\quad \cdot \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta) f(X_i) \Delta_{if} \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(y) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta) f(X_i) \Delta_{if} \right\} d\varphi(x) d\varphi(y) \\
&= n \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) K_{hi}(y) \xi^2(X_i) f^4(X_i) \right\} + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i \neq j}^n K_{hi}(x) K_{hj}(y) \xi(X_i) \xi(X_j) f^2(X_i) f^2(X_j) \\
&\quad \cdot \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta) f(X_i) \Delta_{if} \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(y) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta) f(X_i) \Delta_{if} \right\} d\varphi(x) d\varphi(y).
\end{aligned}$$

161 So, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
En\tilde{S}_{n13}^2 &= \int_{\mathcal{C}} E K_{h1}(x) K_{h1}(y) \xi^2(X_1) f^4(X_1) \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta) f(X_i) \Delta_{if} \right\} \\
&\quad \cdot \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(y) \dot{v}(X_i, \theta) f(X_i) \Delta_{if} \right\} d\varphi(x) d\varphi(y)
\end{aligned}$$

162 which has the order of $o(1)$.

163 In the following we show that $\sqrt{n}S_{n1}$ is asymptotically normal. For the sake of brevity, we
164 only prove the result for $d = 1$. The multidimensional version can be easily derived by using Wold

165 technique. Rewrite S_{n1} ,

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{n1} &= \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \xi(X_i) f^2(X_i) \right\} \{ \dot{\mu}_n(x, \theta) - \dot{\mu}_n(x, \theta_0) + \dot{\mu}_n(x, \theta_0) - \dot{\mu}_h(x, \theta_0) + \dot{\mu}_h(x, \theta_0) \} \\
&\quad \{ \hat{f}_w^{-6}(x) - f^{-6}(x) + f^{-6}(x) \} dG(x) \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \xi(X_i) f^2(X_i) \right\} \dot{\mu}_h(x, \theta_0) f^{-6}(x) dG(x) \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \xi(X_i) f^2(X_i) \right\} \{ \dot{\mu}_n(x, \theta) - \dot{\mu}_n(x, \theta_0) \} f^{-6}(x) dG(x) \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \xi(X_i) f^2(X_i) \right\} \{ \dot{\mu}_n(x, \theta_0) - \dot{\mu}_h(x, \theta_0) \} f^{-6}(x) dG(x) \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \xi(X_i) f^2(X_i) \right\} \dot{\mu}_h(x, \theta_0) \{ \hat{f}_w^{-6}(x) - f^{-6}(x) \} dG(x) \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \xi(X_i) f^2(X_i) \right\} \{ \dot{\mu}_n(x, \theta) - \dot{\mu}_n(x, \theta_0) \} \{ \hat{f}_w^{-6}(x) - f^{-6}(x) \} dG(x) \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \xi(X_i) f^2(X_i) \right\} \{ \dot{\mu}_n(x, \theta_0) - \dot{\mu}_h(x, \theta_0) \} \{ \hat{f}_w^{-6}(x) - f^{-6}(x) \} dG(x) \\
&:= S_{n1,1} + S_{n1,2} + S_{n1,3} + S_{n1,4} + S_{n1,5} + S_{n1,6}.
\end{aligned}$$

166 To proceed, we need the following lemmas:

167 **Lemma 2.1.** *Under H_0 , suppose conditions (e1), (e2), (f1), (k), (v1) to (v5) hold:*

168 (a). *If additionally, (g) holds, $\sqrt{n}S_{n1,1} \rightarrow N(0, \Sigma)$.*

169 (b). *If (f2) and (w) hold, the $\sqrt{n}|S_{n1,4}| = o_p(1)$.*

170 **Lemma 2.2.** *Under H_0 , suppose all the conditions in Lemma 2.1, Furthermore, assume (f2) and*
171 *(w) hold, then $\sqrt{n}S_{n1,k} = o_p(1)$ holds for $k = 2, 3, 5, 6$.*

172 We also need the following lemma from Bosq (2012).

Lemma 2.3. *Let \hat{f}_w be the kernel estimate associate with a kernel K which satisfies a Lipschitz condition. If (f2) holds and $w_n = a_n(\log n/n)^{1/(d+4)}$, where $a_n \rightarrow a_0 > 0$, then*

$$(\log_k n)^{-1} (n/\log n)^{2/(d+4)} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{C}} |\hat{f}_w(x) - f(x)| \rightarrow 0, \quad a.s., \quad \forall k > 0, k \in \mathbb{N}$$

To prove part (a) of Lemma 2.1, let $s_{n11i} := \int_{\mathcal{C}} K_{hi}(x) \xi(X_i) f^2(X_i) \dot{\mu}_h(x, \theta_0) d\varphi(x)$, we have

$$\sqrt{n}S_{n1,1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n s_{n11i}$$

173 Note that $\{s_{n11i}, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ are i.i.d. centered random variables for each n . By Central Limit
 174 Theorem with Lindeberg Feller condition, it suffices to show that as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$Es_{n111}^2 \rightarrow \Sigma \quad (2.4)$$

$$E\{s_{n111}^2 I(|s_{n111}| \geq n^{1/2}\eta)\} \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall \eta \geq 0 \quad (2.5)$$

175 By the definition of $\dot{\mu}_h(x, \theta)$, Fubini's theorem, change of variables by $\frac{u-x}{h} = u_1$, and $\frac{u-y}{h} = u_2$
 176 and the continuity of functions K, v, f, \dot{v} , together with bounded convergence theorem, we have
 177 $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \dot{\mu}_h(x, \theta) = \dot{v}_0(x)f^3(x)$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} Es_{n111}^2 &= \int \int \int K_h(u-x)K_h(u-y)E(\xi^2|X=u)f^4(u)f(u)du\dot{\mu}_h(x, \theta_0)\dot{\mu}_h(y, \theta_0) \\ &\quad \cdot f^{-6}(x)f^{-6}(y)g(x)g(y)dxdy \\ Es_{n111}^2 &= \int \int \int K(u_1)K(u_2)\dot{\mu}_h(u+u_1h, \theta_0)\dot{\mu}_h(u+u_2h, \theta_0)f^{-6}(u+u_1h)f^{-6}(u+u_2h) \\ &\quad \cdot g(u+u_1h)g(u+u_2h)du_1du_2f^5(u)E(\xi^2|X=u)du \\ &\rightarrow \int \dot{v}^2(u, \theta_0)f^6(u)f^{-6}(u)f^{-6}(u)f^5(u)g^2(u)E(\xi^2|X=u)du \\ &= \int \frac{\dot{v}^2(u, \theta_0)E(\xi^2|X=u)g^2(u)}{f(u)}du = \Sigma \end{aligned}$$

178 To prove the next claim, first note that by Holder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} E\{s_{n11}^2 I(|s_{n11}| \geq n^{1/2}\eta)\} &= E(s_{n11}^{2+\delta}) \frac{1}{s_{n11}^\delta} I(|s_{n11}| \geq n^{1/2}\eta) \leq E\left(s_{n11}^{2+\delta} \frac{1}{n^{\delta/2}\eta_d^\delta}\right) \\ &= n^{-\frac{\delta}{2}}\eta_d^{-\delta} E\left[\left(\int (K_{h1}(x)f^2(X_1)\dot{\mu}_h(x))^{(2+\delta)/2}d\varphi(x)\right)^2 |\xi|^{2+\delta}\right] = O(nh^d)^{-\delta/2} = o(1). \end{aligned}$$

179 To prove part (b) of the Lemma 2.1, we need to use Lemma 2.3, and the Cauchy-Schwartz
 180 inequality, the boundedness of $\dot{\mu}_h(x)$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} nS_{n1,1}^2 &\leq Mn \int \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x)f^2(X_i)\xi(X_i)\dot{\mu}_h(x)\right)^2 d\varphi(x) \sup_{x \in \mathcal{C}} |f^6(x)/\hat{f}_w^6(x) - 1|^2 \\ &= O_p((\log_k n)^2(\log n)^{4/(4+d)}n^{ad-4/(4+d)}) = o_p(1). \end{aligned}$$

181 And this concludes the proof of Lemma 2.1. Next, to show Lemma 2.2 we have, by Cauchy-Schwartz
 182 inequality,

$$\|\sqrt{n}S_{n1,3}\|^2 \leq \left(\sqrt{n} \int \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x)f^2(X_i)\xi(X_i)\right)^2 d\varphi(x)\right) \left(\sqrt{n} \int \|\dot{\mu}_n(x, \theta_0) - \dot{\mu}_h(x, \theta_0)\|^2 d\varphi(x)\right)$$

and it is again, easy to show that

$$E\sqrt{n} \int \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x)f^2(X_i)\xi(X_i)\right)^2 d\varphi(x) = O\left(\frac{1}{n^{1/2}h^d}\right) = o(1)$$

As for the second term, since $\dot{\mu}_h(x, \theta_0)$ is the mean of $\dot{\mu}_n(x, \theta_0)$, then the expectation of the second term would be bounded above by the second order of $\dot{\mu}_n(x, \theta_0)$, that is

$$\sqrt{n} \int E \|K_{h1}(x) \dot{v}_0(x) f^2(X_1)\|^2 d\varphi(x) = O\left(\frac{1}{n^{1/2} h^d}\right) = o(1)$$

183 Similarly we can get the proof of $\sqrt{n} S_{n1,6}$.

$$\|\sqrt{n} S_{n1,2}\|^2 \leq n \int \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) f^2(X_i) \xi(X_i) \right)^2 d\varphi(x) \int \|\dot{\mu}_n(x, \hat{\theta}_n) - \dot{\mu}_n(x, \theta_0)\|^2 d\varphi(x)$$

184 by assumption (v5), we know the second part is bounded above by

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|\dot{v}(X_i, \theta_0) - \dot{v}(\theta_n, X_i)\|^2 \int (\hat{f}_h(x) f^2(x))^2 d\varphi(x) = o_p(h^d) O_p(1)$$

185 Together, we can show that $\sqrt{n} S_{n1,2} = o_p(1)$, and $\sqrt{n} S_{n1,5} = o_p(1)$ follows similarly. The proof
186 of $\sqrt{n} S_{n1,6}$ follows $\sqrt{n} S_{n1,6}$ and $\sqrt{n} S_{n1,2}$, which complete the proof of both lemmas, and therefore
187 the left hand side of equation (2.3).

188 Similarly, for the RHS of equation (2.3), plus and minus the true density at sample point X_i ,
189 we have the following,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) (\dot{v}_0(X_i) - \dot{v}(X_i, \hat{\theta}_n)) \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) \right] \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \hat{\theta}_n) \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) \right] d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\ = & \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) (\dot{v}_0(X_i) - \dot{v}(X_i, \hat{\theta}_n)) f^2(X_i) \right] \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \hat{\theta}_n) f^2(X_i) \right] d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\ & + 2 \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) (\dot{v}_0(X_i) - \dot{v}(X_i, \hat{\theta}_n)) f^2(X_i) \right] \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \hat{\theta}_n) \Delta_{if} f(X_i) \right] d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\ & + \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) (\dot{v}_0(X_i) - \dot{v}(X_i, \hat{\theta}_n)) f^2(X_i) \right] \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \hat{\theta}_n) \Delta_{if}^2 \right] d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\ & + 2 \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) (\dot{v}_0(X_i) - \dot{v}(X_i, \hat{\theta}_n)) \Delta_{if} f(X_i) \right] \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \hat{\theta}_n) f^2(X_i) \right] d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\ & + 4 \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) (\dot{v}_0(X_i) - \dot{v}(X_i, \hat{\theta}_n)) \Delta_{if} f(X_i) \right] \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \hat{\theta}_n) \Delta_{if} f(X_i) \right] d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\ & + 2 \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) (\dot{v}_0(X_i) - \dot{v}(X_i, \hat{\theta}_n)) \Delta_{if} f(X_i) \right] \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \hat{\theta}_n) \Delta_{if}^2 \right] d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\ & + \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) (\dot{v}_0(X_i) - \dot{v}(X_i, \hat{\theta}_n)) \Delta_{if}^2 \right] \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \hat{\theta}_n) f^2(X_i) \right] d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\ & + 2 \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) (\dot{v}_0(X_i) - \dot{v}(X_i, \hat{\theta}_n)) \Delta_{if}^2 \right] \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \hat{\theta}_n) \Delta_{if} f(X_i) \right] d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \end{aligned}$$

190

$$+ \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) (\dot{v}_0(X_i) - \dot{v}(X_i, \hat{\theta}_n)) \Delta_{if}^2 \right] \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \hat{\theta}_n) \Delta_{if}^2 \right] d\hat{\varphi}_w(x).$$

191 Note that with the previous derivation, we may conclude that except the first one, the remaining
 192 eight terms are $o_p(1)$. So the first term may have the following expansion,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) (\dot{v}_0(X_i) - \dot{v}(X_i, \hat{\theta}_n)) f(X_i)^2 \right] \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \hat{\theta}_n) f(X_i)^2 \right] d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) (\dot{v}_0(X_i) - \dot{v}(X_i, \hat{\theta}_n) - u_n^T \dot{v}_0(X_i) + u_n^T \dot{v}_0(X_i)) f^2(X_i) \right] \dot{\mu}_n(x, \hat{\theta}_n) d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \left(\frac{\dot{v}_0(X_i) - \dot{v}(X_i, \hat{\theta}_n) - u_n^T \dot{v}_0(X_i)}{\|\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0\|} \right) f^2(X_i) \right] \dot{\mu}_n(x, \hat{\theta}_n) d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \|u_n\| \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) (u_n^T \dot{v}_0(X_i)) f^2(X_i) \right] \dot{\mu}_n(x, \hat{\theta}_n) d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \frac{d_{ni}}{\|u_n\|} f^2(X_i) \right] \dot{\mu}_n(x, \hat{\theta}_n) d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \|u_n\| + \int_{\mathcal{C}} \dot{\mu}_n(x, \theta_0) \dot{\mu}_n^T(x, \hat{\theta}_n) d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) u_n \\ &:= V_n \|u_n\| + L_n u_n. \end{aligned}$$

193 By assumptions (v4) and (v5),

$$\|V_n\| \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{d_{ni}}{\|u_n\|} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) f^2(X_i) \right] \|\dot{\mu}_n(x, \hat{\theta}_n) - \dot{\mu}_h(x, \theta_0) + \dot{\mu}_h(x, \theta_0)\| d\hat{\varphi}_w(x)$$

194

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{d_{ni}}{\|u_n\|} \|\dot{v}(X_i, \hat{\theta}_n) - \dot{v}_0(X_i)\| \int_{\mathcal{C}} \hat{f}_h(x) f^2(x) d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) + \int_{\mathcal{C}} \hat{f}_h(x) f^2(x) \|\dot{\mu}_h(x, \theta_0)\| d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\ &= o_p(1)(o_p(1) + O_p(1)) = o_p(1), \end{aligned}$$

195 this concludes the proof of $V_n = o_p(1)$.

$$\begin{aligned} L_n &= \int_{\mathcal{C}} \dot{\mu}_n(x, \theta_0) (\dot{\mu}_n(x, \hat{\theta}_n) - \dot{\mu}_h(x, \theta_0) + \dot{\mu}_h(x, \theta_0))^T d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{C}} \dot{\mu}_n(x, \theta_0) (\dot{\mu}_n(x, \hat{\theta}_n) - \dot{\mu}_h(x, \theta_0))^T d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) + \int_{\mathcal{C}} \dot{\mu}_n(x, \theta_0) \dot{\mu}_h(x, \theta_0)^T d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\ &:= L_{n1} + L_{n2}. \end{aligned}$$

196 And it is easy to see that $\|L_{n1}\| = o_p(1)$ by assumption (v5). Similarly by adding and subtracting
 197 $\dot{\mu}_h(x, \theta_0)$ from $\dot{\mu}_n(x, \theta_0)$ in L_{n2} , we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \|L_{n2} - \int_{\mathcal{C}} \dot{\mu}_h^T(x, \theta_0) \dot{\mu}_h(x, \theta_0) d\hat{\varphi}_w(x)\| &\leq \int_{\mathcal{C}} \|\dot{\mu}_n(x, \theta_0) - \dot{\mu}_h(x, \theta_0)\|^2 d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\ &+ 2 \int_{\mathcal{C}} \|\dot{\mu}_n(x, \theta_0) - \dot{\mu}_h(x, \theta_0)\| \cdot \|\dot{\mu}_h(x, \theta_0)\| d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) = o_p(1). \end{aligned}$$

198 Note that

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} \dot{\mu}_h^T(x, \theta_0) \dot{\mu}_h(x, \theta_0) d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) = \int_{\mathcal{C}} \dot{v}(\theta_0) f^3(x) \dot{v}^T(\theta_0) f^3(x) d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) + o_p(1).$$

199 and $\int_{\mathcal{C}} \dot{v}(\theta_0) f^3(x) \dot{v}^T(\theta_0) f^3(x) d\hat{\varphi}_w(x)$ equals

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} \dot{v}(\theta_0) f^3(x) \dot{v}^T(\theta_0) f^3(x) \left\{ \frac{1}{\hat{f}_w^6(x)} - \frac{1}{f^6(x)} \right\} dG(x) + \int_{\mathcal{C}} \dot{v}(\theta_0) \dot{v}^T(\theta_0) dG(x) = o_p(1) + \Sigma_0,$$

200 this concludes the proof. \square

201 To prove Theorem 3.4, we need the Theorem 1 from Hall (1984) which is reproduced here for
202 the sake of completeness.

Lemma 2.4. *Let \tilde{X}_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, be i.i.d. random vectors, and define*

$$U_n := \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} H_n(\tilde{X}_i, \tilde{X}_j), \quad G_n(x, y) = EH_n(\tilde{X}_1, x)H_n(\tilde{X}_1, y),$$

where H_n is a sequence of measurable functions symmetric under permutation, with

$$E(H_n(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2) | \tilde{X}_1) = 0 \quad a.s., \quad EH_n^2(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2) < \infty$$

for each $n \geq 1$. If

$$\frac{[EG_n^2(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2) + n^{-1}EH_n^4(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2)]}{[EH_n^2(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2)]^2} \rightarrow 0,$$

203 then U_n is asymptotically normal with mean 0 and variance $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^2 EH_n^2(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2)/2$.

204 The proof of Theorem 3.4 is facilitated by the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.5. *Under H_0 , if (e1), (e2), (e4), (f1), (g), (h1), (h2), and (k) hold, then*

$$nh^{d/2}(\tilde{T}_n(\theta_0) - \tilde{C}_n) \rightarrow N(0, \Gamma)$$

205 in distribution.

206 *Proof.* First, note that by the similar derivation as in the proof of asymptotic normality of $\hat{\theta}_n$, we
207 have

$$nh^{d/2}T_n(\theta_0) = nh^{d/2} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) [(Y_i - m(X_i) + m(X_i) - \hat{m}(X_i))^2 - v_0(X_i)] \cdot (\hat{f}_h(X_i) - f(X_i) + f(X_i))^2 \right]^2 \left(\frac{1}{\hat{f}_w^6(x)} - \frac{1}{f^6(x)} + \frac{1}{f^6(x)} \right) dG(x)$$

208 From the previous results, under similar assumptions, together with the dimension restriction $d <$
209 4, it would be easy to see that the dominating term on the right hand side is $nh^{d/2} \int_{\mathcal{C}} [n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) [(Y_i -$
210 $m(X_i))^2 - v_0(X_i)] f^2(X_i)]^2 d\varphi(x)$, denoted as $\tilde{T}_n(\theta_0)$.

211 It can be seen that

$$\begin{aligned}
& nh^{d/2} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) [(Y_i - m(X_i))^2 - v_0(X_i)] f^2(X_i) \right]^2 d\varphi(x) \\
&= nh^{d/2} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}^2(x) \xi^2(X_i) f^4(X_i) d\varphi(x) \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i \neq j}^n K_{hi}(x) K_{hj}(x) \xi(X_i) \xi(X_j) f^2(X_i) f^2(X_j) d\varphi(x).
\end{aligned}$$

212 We denote the right hand side as $nh^{d/2}(\tilde{C}_n + M_n)$. Also define

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_n &:= 2h^d \frac{n-1}{n} \int \int [EK_{h1}(x)K_{h1}(y)\xi^2(X_i)f^4(X_1)]^2 d\varphi(x)d\varphi(y) \\
H_n(\tilde{X}_i, \tilde{X}_j) &:= \frac{h^{d/2}}{n} \int K_{hi}(x)K_{hj}(x)\xi(X_i)\xi(X_j)f^2(X_i)f^2(X_j)d\varphi(x),
\end{aligned}$$

213 where $\tilde{X}_i = (X_i^T, \xi(X_i))^T$. So $nh^{d/2}M_n/2 = \sum_{i < j} H_n(\tilde{X}_i, \tilde{X}_j)$, and we will have,

$$\begin{aligned}
EH_n^2(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2) &= \frac{h^d}{n^2} \iint EK_{hi,j}(x)K_{hi,j}(y)\xi^2(X_i)\xi^2(X_j)f^4(X_i)f^4(X_j)d\varphi(x)d\varphi(y) \\
&= \frac{h^d}{n^2} \iint [EK_{h1}(x)K_{h1}(y)\xi^2(X_1)f^4(X_1)]^2 d\varphi(x)d\varphi(y) \\
&= \frac{h^d}{n^2} \iint \left(\int \frac{1}{h^{2d}} K\left(\frac{u-x}{h}\right) K\left(\frac{u-y}{h}\right) \xi^2(u)f^4(u)f(u)du \right)^2 d\varphi(x)d\varphi(y) \\
&= \frac{1}{n^2 h^d} \iint \left(K(u)K\left(\frac{x-y}{h} + u\right) \xi^2(x+uh)f^5(x+uh)du \right)^2 d\varphi(x)d\varphi(y)
\end{aligned}$$

214 Next, we will show

$$\frac{EG^2(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2)}{[EH_n^2(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2)]^2} = o(1), \quad \frac{EH^4(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2)}{n[EH_n^2(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2)]^2} = o(1),$$

215 which implies the desired result by Lemma 2.4. In fact, the above results can be obtained if we
216 can show

$$EG^2(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2) = O\left(\frac{h^d}{n^4}\right), \quad EH_n^2(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2) = O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right), \quad EH^4(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2) = O\left(\frac{1}{n^4 h^{2d}}\right).$$

217 Note that $G_n(x, y) = EH_n(\tilde{X}_1, x)H_n(\tilde{X}_1, y)$, left $t^T = (t_1^T, t_2)$, and $s^T = (s_1^T, s_2)$, where t_1 and s_1

218 are $n \times 1$ vector, where t_2 and s_2 are scalar. So, we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
G_n(t, s) &= EH_n(\tilde{X}_1, t)H_n(\tilde{X}_1, s) \\
&= h^d n^{-2} E \int K_h(\tilde{X}_1 - x)K_h(t_1 - x)\xi(X_1)t_2 f^2(X_1)f^2(t_1)K_h(\tilde{X}_1 - z)K_h(s_1 - z)\xi(X_1)s_2 \\
&\quad \cdot f^2(X_1)f^2(s_1)d\varphi(x)d\varphi(y) \\
&= h^d n^{-2} \int K_h(t_1 - x)t_2 s_2 f^2(t_1)K_h(s_1 - z)f^2(s_1) \\
&\quad \cdot E(K_h(\tilde{X}_1 - x)K_h(\tilde{X}_1 - z)\xi^2(X_1)f^4(X_1))d\varphi(x)d\varphi(y)
\end{aligned}$$

219 Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
EK_{h1}(x)K_{h1}(z)\xi^2(X_1, \hat{\theta}_n)f^4(X_1) &= \int K_h(u - x)K_h(u - z)\xi^2(u)f^5(u)du \\
&= \frac{1}{h^d} \int K(u)K\left(\frac{x - z}{h} + u\right)\xi^2(x + uh)f^5(x + uh)du := B_h(x - z)
\end{aligned}$$

220

$$EG_n^2(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2) = \frac{h^{2d}}{n^4} \int B_h(x - z)B_h(x - w)B_h(z - v)B(v - w)d\varphi(x)d\varphi(z)d\varphi(w)d\varphi(v)$$

221 which is the order of $n^{-4}h^d$, where the last equality hold by change of variables on $(x - z)/h = u$,
222 $(x - w)/h = v$, $(z - v)/h = w$, and the continuity of functions K , ξ over compact set, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
EH_n^4(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2) &= \frac{h^{2d}}{n^4} E \left(\int K_{h1,2}(x)\xi(X_1)\xi(X_2)f^2(X_1)f^2(X_2)d\varphi(x) \right)^4 \\
&= \frac{h^{2d}}{n^4} \iiint\int (EK_{h1}(x)K_{h1}(y)K_{h1}(z)K_{h1}(t)\xi(X_1)^4 f^8(X_1))^2 d\varphi(x)d\varphi(y)d\varphi(z)d\varphi(t),
\end{aligned}$$

223 which is the order of $O(n^{-4}h^{-2d})$. We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
EH_n^2(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2) &= \frac{h^d}{n^2} \iint EK_{h1,2}(x)K_{h1}(y)K_{h2}(y)\xi^2(X_1)\xi^2(X_2)f^4(X_1)f^4(X_2)d\varphi(x)d\varphi(y) \\
&= \frac{1}{n^2 h^{3d}} \iiint\int K\left(\frac{x - u}{h}\right)K\left(\frac{y - u}{h}\right)K\left(\frac{x - v}{h}\right)K\left(\frac{y - v}{h}\right)\xi^2(u)\xi^2(v) \\
&\quad \cdot f^5(u)f^5(v)dudvd\varphi(x)d\varphi(y),
\end{aligned}$$

224 which is $O(n^{-2})$.

225 So far we have shown that the conditions for the CLT are satisfied, and next it suffices to show
226 the form of variance. In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}n^2 EH_n^2(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2) &= \frac{n\Gamma_n}{4(n-1)} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}h^d \int \int \left(\int K(u)\frac{1}{h^d}K\left(\frac{y - x}{h} + u\right)\tau^2(x - uh)f^5(x - uh)du \right)^2 d\varphi(x)d\varphi(y)
\end{aligned}$$

227

$$\begin{aligned} &\rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \int (\tau^2(x))^2 f^{10}(x) f^{-12}(x) g^2(x) dx \int \left(\int K(u) K(v+u) du \right)^2 dv \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{(\tau^2(x))^2 g^2(x)}{f^2(x)} dx \int \left(\int K(u) K(v+u) du \right)^2 dv = \frac{\Gamma}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

228 by the Bounded Convergence theorem, and the continuity of $\tau^2(x)$, $f(x)$ and $g(x)$. \square

Lemma 2.6. Under H_0 , if (e1), (e2), (f1), (f2), (k), (v3)-(v5) and (w) hold, then

$$nh^{d/2} |T_n(\hat{\theta}_n) - T_n(\theta_0)| = o_p(1).$$

229 *Proof.* Note that $T_n(\theta_0) - T_n(\hat{\theta}_n)$ can be written as $2Q_1 - Q_2$ with

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= \int \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) ((Y_i - \hat{m}(X_i))^2 - v_0(X_i)) \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) \right] \\ &\quad \cdot \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) (v_0(X_i) - v(X_i, \hat{\theta}_n)) \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) \right] d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\ Q_2 &= \int \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) (v_0(X_i) - v(X_i, \hat{\theta}_n)) \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) \right]^2 d\hat{\varphi}_w(x). \end{aligned}$$

230 It suffices to show that $nh^{d/2}Q_1 = o_p(1)$, and $nh^{d/2}Q_2 = o_p(1)$. Note that Q_1 can be written as

$$\int U_n(x) \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) (v_0(X_i) - v(X_i, \hat{\theta}_n) - (\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0)^T \dot{v}_0(X_i) + (\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0)^T \dot{v}_0(X_i)) \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) \right] d\hat{\varphi}_w(x)$$

231 which is the sum of the following two terms

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{11} &= \int U_n(x) \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) d_{ni} \right] \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) d\hat{\varphi}_w(x), \\ Q_{12} &= u_n^T \int U_n(x) \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}_0(X_i) \right] \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) d\hat{\varphi}_w(x). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.2, and $nh^d T_n(\theta_0) = O_p(1)$ we have $nh^d \|\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0\|^2 = O_p(1)$. Therefore, by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, assumption (v4), and the fact that $\hat{f}_h(X_i)/\hat{f}_h(x) = O_p(1)$, $\int U_n(x) d\varphi(x) = O(1/nh^d)$, we have

$$nh^{d/2} |Q_{11}| \leq \max_i \frac{|d_{ni}|}{\|u_n\|} (nh^d)^{1/2} \|u_n\| \int \hat{f}_h^6(x) d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \sqrt{n} \int U_n^2(x) d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) = o_p(1)$$

232 Next, observe that Q_{12} can be written as $Q_{121} - Q_{122}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{121} &= u_n^T \int U_n(x) \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \dot{v}(X_i, \hat{\theta}_n) \right] \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) d\hat{\varphi}_w(x), \\ Q_{122} &= u_n^T \int U_n(x) \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) (\dot{v}(X_i, \hat{\theta}_n) - \dot{v}_0(X_i)) \right] \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) d\hat{\varphi}_w(x). \end{aligned}$$

233 Arguing as above, on the event $(nh^d)^{1/2}|\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0| \leq k$, with Cauchy-Schwartz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} n^2 h^d |Q_{122}|^2 &\leq n^2 h^d \|u_n\|^2 \int U_n^2(x) d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \cdot \max_i \|\dot{v}(\hat{\theta}_n, X_i) - \dot{v}(\theta_0, X_i)\|^2 d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\ &\quad \cdot \int \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) \right)^2 d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \\ &= n^2 h^d O_p\left(\frac{1}{n^2 h^{2d}}\right) O_p\left(\frac{1}{nh^d}\right) O_p(h^d) O_P(1) = o_p(1). \end{aligned}$$

234 For Q_{121} , we can further rewrite it as the sum of D_1 and D_2 , where

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= u_n^T \int Z_n(x, \hat{\theta}_n) \dot{\mu}_n(x, \theta_0) d\hat{\varphi}_w(x), \\ D_2 &= u_n^T \int Z_n(x, \hat{\theta}_n) (\dot{\mu}_n(x, \hat{\theta}_n) - \dot{\mu}_n(x, \theta_0)) d\hat{\varphi}_w(x), \end{aligned}$$

235 and it is obvious to see that

$$nh^{d/2} D_1 \leq nh^{d/2} \|u_n\|^2 \int Z_n^2(x, \hat{\theta}_n) d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \int \hat{f}_h^2(x) d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) = o_p(1).$$

236 While using Theorem 3.5, and assumption (v5)

$$nh^{d/2} D_2 \leq nh^{d/2} \|u_n\|^2 \int Z_n^2(x, \hat{\theta}_n) d\hat{\varphi}_w(x) \int \left[\frac{1}{n} K_{hi}(x) (\dot{v}(X_i, \hat{\theta}_n) - \dot{v}(X_i, \theta_0)) \right]^2 d\hat{\varphi}_w(x),$$

237 which is $o_p(1)$. The proof of Q_2 will be proceeded similar to Q_1 . □

Lemma 2.7. Under H_0 , (e1), (e2), (f1), (f2), (v3)-(v5), (w), we have

$$nh^{d/2} |T_n(\theta_0) - \tilde{T}_n(\theta_0)| = o_p(1)$$

238 *Proof.* Using Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} nh^{d/2} |T_n(\theta_0) - \tilde{T}_n(\theta_0)| &\leq nh^{d/2} \int_{\mathcal{C}} U_n^2(x) d\varphi(x) \sup_{x \in \mathcal{C}} |f^6(x)/\hat{f}_w^6(x) - 1| \\ &= nh^{d/2} O_p((nh^d)^{-1}) O_p((\log_k n)(\log n/n)^{d/(d+4)}) = o_p(1), \end{aligned}$$

239 which implies the desired result. □

240 **Lemma 2.8.** Under the same condition as Lemma 2.6, $nh^{d/2}(\hat{C}_n - \tilde{C}_n) = o_p(1)$.

241 *Proof.* Since

$$\hat{C}_n = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathcal{C}} K_{hi}^2(x) \hat{\xi}^2(X_i) \hat{f}_h^4(X_i) d\hat{\varphi}_w(x), \quad \tilde{C}_n = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathcal{C}} K_{hi}^2(x) \xi^2(X_i) f^4(X_i) d\varphi(x).$$

242 As usual, for \hat{C}_n , by adding and subtracting the following quantities, $m(X_i)$, $v_0(X_i)$, $f(X_i)$, and
 243 $f^{-6}(x)$ in the corresponding places, i.e. $\hat{\xi}^2(X_i)$ for the first two, and $\hat{f}_h^4(X_i)$, $d\hat{\varphi}_w(x)$, respectively.
 244 Let $\Delta_{iv} := v_0(X_i) - v(X_i, \hat{\theta}_n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{C}_n &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathcal{C}} K_{hi}^2(x) ((Y_i - \hat{m}(X_i) - m(X_i) + m(X_i))^2 - v(X_i, \hat{\theta}_n) + v_0(X_i) - v_0(X_i)) \\
 &\quad (\hat{f}_h^4(X_i) - f^4(X_i) + f^4(X_i)) \left(\frac{1}{\hat{f}_w^6(x)} - \frac{1}{f^6(x)} + \frac{1}{f^6(x)} \right) dG(x) \\
 &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathcal{C}} K_{hi}^2(x) \xi^2(X_i) f^4(X_i) d\varphi(x) + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathcal{C}} K_{hi}^2(x) \xi^2(X_i) \Delta_{if^4} d\varphi(x) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathcal{C}} K_{hi}^2(x) \xi^2(X_i) \Delta_{if^4} \left(\frac{1}{\hat{f}_w^6(x)} - \frac{1}{f^6(x)} \right) dG(x) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathcal{C}} K_{hi}^2(x) \xi^2(X_i) f^4(X_i) \left(\frac{1}{\hat{f}_w^6(x)} - \frac{1}{f^6(x)} \right) dG(x) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathcal{C}} K_{hi}^2(x) (\Delta_{im}^2) \Delta_{if^4} \left(\frac{1}{\hat{f}_w^6(x)} - \frac{1}{f^6(x)} \right) dG(x) \\
 &\quad + \frac{2}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathcal{C}} K_{hi}^2(x) ((Y_i - m(X_i)) \Delta_{im} - \Delta_{iv}) \Delta_{if^4} \left(\frac{1}{\hat{f}_w^6(x)} - \frac{1}{f^6(x)} \right) dG(x) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathcal{C}} K_{hi}^2(x) (\Delta_{im}^2) f^4(X_i) \left(\frac{1}{\hat{f}_w^6(x)} - \frac{1}{f^6(x)} \right) dG(x) \\
 &\quad + \frac{2}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathcal{C}} K_{hi}^2(x) ((Y_i - m(X_i)) \Delta_{im} - \Delta_{iv}) f^4(X_i) \left(\frac{1}{\hat{f}_w^6(x)} - \frac{1}{f^6(x)} \right) dG(x) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathcal{C}} K_{hi}^2(x) (\Delta_{im}^2) \Delta_{if^4} f^{-6}(x) dG(x) \\
 &\quad + \frac{2}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathcal{C}} K_{hi}^2(x) ((Y_i - m(X_i)) \Delta_{im} - \Delta_{iv}) \Delta_{if^4} f^{-6}(x) dG(x) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathcal{C}} K_{hi}^2(x) (\Delta_{im}^2) f^4(X_i) f^{-6}(x) dG(x) \\
 &\quad + \frac{2}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathcal{C}} K_{hi}^2(x) ((Y_i - m(X_i)) \Delta_{im} - \Delta_{iv}) f^4(X_i) \left(\frac{1}{f^6(x)} \right) dG(x) \\
 &:= C_{n1} + \cdots + C_{n12}.
 \end{aligned}$$

245 Note that C_{n1} is simply \tilde{C}_n . For $i = 2 \dots 12$, we will show that $nh^{d/2}C_{ni} = o_p(1)$. By Cauchy-
 246 Schwartz inequality, it is sufficient to show that $nh^{d/2}C_{nk} \rightarrow 0$ in probability for $k = 2, 4, 12$. For

247 C_{n2} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} Enh^{d/2}C_{n2} &= h^{d/2} \int_{\mathcal{C}} EK_{h1}^2(x)\xi^2(X_1)(\hat{f}_h^4(X_1) - f^4(X_1))d\varphi(x) \\ &= h^{d/2} \int_{\mathcal{C}} EK_{h1}^2(x)\xi^2(X_1) \left[\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n K_{hj1} - f(X_1) + f(X_1) \right) - f^4(X_1) \right] d\varphi(x) = o(h^{4+d/2}). \end{aligned}$$

248 By Lemma 2.3, expanding the numerator in the fraction part, also note that other functions are
249 all continuous on the compact set \mathcal{C} ,

$$Enh^{d/2}|C_{n4}| \leq h^{d/2} \int_{\mathcal{C}} EK_{h1}^2(x)\xi^2(X_1)f^4(x) \sup_{x \in \mathcal{C}} \left| \frac{f^6(x) - \hat{f}_w^6(x)}{\hat{f}_w^6(x)f^6(x)} \right| dG(x) = o(1).$$

250 Finally, for C_{n12} , it would be easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} Enh^{d/2}C_{n12} &= 2h^{d/2} \int_{\mathcal{C}} EK_{h1}^2(x)((Y_1 - m(X_1))\Delta_{1m} - \Delta_{1v})f^4(X_1)d\varphi(x) \\ &= 2h^{d/2} \int_{\mathcal{C}} EK_{h1}^2(x)((Y_1 - m(X_1))\Delta_{1m})f^4(X_1)d\varphi(x) - 2h^{d/2} \int_{\mathcal{C}} EK_{h1}^2(x)\Delta_{1v}f^4(X_1)d\varphi(x), \end{aligned}$$

251 is of order $o(1)$, while using similar techniques to Δ_{1m} and Δ_{1v} . To be specific, the first part on
252 the RHS is similar to T_{n2}^* with a simpler derivation, and the second part on the RHS converge to
253 zero with assumption $v(5)$ by taking the term $\max \Delta_{1v}$ outside the integral.

254 For $i = 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11$, we will observe similar structure as the above two, thus using similar
255 technique as the above two terms may grant the order $o_p(1)$. \square

256 **Lemma 2.9.** *Under the same conditions as Lemma 2.6, we have $\hat{\Gamma}_n - \Gamma = o_p(1)$.*

Proof. Since we have already known that $\Gamma_n \rightarrow \Gamma$, so it suffices to show that $\hat{\Gamma}_n - \tilde{\Gamma}_n = o_p(1)$,
 $\tilde{\Gamma}_n - \Gamma_n = o_p(1)$, where,

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_n = \frac{h^d}{n^2} \sum_{i \neq j} \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}} K_{hi}(x)K_{hj}(x)\xi(X_i)\xi(X_j)f_h^2(X_i)f_h^2(X_j)f^{-6}(x)dG(x) \right)^2.$$

257 First we show that $\hat{\Gamma}_n - \tilde{\Gamma}_n = o_p(1)$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Gamma}_n &= \frac{h^d}{n^2} \sum_{i \neq j} \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}} K_{hi}(x)K_{hj}(x)\hat{\xi}(X_i)\hat{\xi}(X_j)\hat{f}_h^2(X_i)\hat{f}_h^2(X_j)\hat{f}_w^{-6}(x)dG(x) \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{h^d}{n^2} \sum_{i \neq j} \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}} K_{hi}(x)K_{hj}(x)((Y_i - m(X_i) - \Delta_{im})^2 - v_0(X_i) + v_0(X_i) - v(X_i, \hat{\theta}_n)) \cdot \right. \\ &\quad \left. ((Y_j - m(X_j) - \Delta_{jm})^2 - v_0(X_j) + v_0(X_j) - v(X_j, \hat{\theta}_n)) \cdot \right. \\ &\quad \left. (\Delta_{if^2} + f^2(X_i))(\Delta_{jf^2} + f^2(X_j))(\hat{f}_w^{-6}(x) - f^{-6}(x) + f^{-6}(x))dG(x) \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

258 After expanding the square terms in the above expression of $\hat{\Gamma}_n$, $\hat{\Gamma}_n$ can be written as the sum of
 259 $\tilde{\Gamma}_n$ and many other terms which include at least one term from Δ_{im}^2 , Δ_{vi} and Δ_{if^2} . Hence, except
 260 for $\tilde{\Gamma}_n$, all other terms will be $o_p(1)$.

261 Finally, note that by Fubini Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} E\tilde{\Gamma}_n &= \frac{h^d}{n^2} \sum_{i \neq j} E \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}} K_{hi}(x) K_{hj}(x) \xi(X_i) \xi(X_j) f_h^2(X_i) f_h^2(X_j) f^{-6}(x) dG(x) \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{(n-1)h^d}{n} \int \int (E(K_{h1}(x) K_{h1}(y) \xi^2(X_1) f^4(X_1)))^2 d\varphi(x) d\varphi(y) = \Gamma_n \end{aligned}$$

262 Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(\tilde{\Gamma}_n - \Gamma_n)^2 &\leq \sum_{i \neq j} EH^4(\tilde{X}_i, \tilde{X}_j) + c \sum_{i \neq j \neq k} EH_n^2(\tilde{X}_i, \tilde{X}_j) H_n^2(\tilde{X}_j, \tilde{X}_k) \\ &\leq (n^2 + cn^3) EH^4(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2) = O(1/nh^d). \end{aligned}$$

263 This concludes the proof of Lemma 2.9. □

264 *The proof of Theorem 3.5.* Note that under the alternative hypothesis, we have $Y = m(X) +$
 265 $\sqrt{v_a(X)}\varepsilon$. So by adding and subtracting $v_a(X_i)$ in $T_n(\hat{\theta}_n)$, it can be written as $T_{nc1} + T_{nc2} + T_{nc3}$,
 266 where

$$\begin{aligned} T_{nc1} &= \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \{(Y_i - \hat{m}(X_i))^2 - v_a(X_i)\} \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) \right]^2 d\hat{\varphi}_w(x), \\ T_{nc2} &= \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \{v_a(X_i) - v(X_i, \hat{\theta}_n)\} \hat{f}_h^2(X_i) \right]^2 d\hat{\varphi}_w(x), \end{aligned}$$

267 and T_{nc3} is bounded above by $2\sqrt{T_{nc1}T_{nc2}}$ by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality.

268 By adding and subtracting $m(X_i)$, $f^2(X_i)$, and $f^{-6}(x)$ in the corresponding parts in T_{nc1} , and
 269 the dominating term would be

$$nh^{d/2} \tilde{T}_{nc1} = nh^{d/2} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \{(Y_i - m(X_i))^2 - v_a(X_i)\} f^2(X_i) \right]^2 d\varphi(x).$$

270

271 Denote $\xi_a(X_i) = (Y_i - m(X_i))^2 - v_a(X_i)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} T_{nc1} &= \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) \{(Y_i - m(X_i))^2 - v_a(X_i)\} f^2(X_i) \right]^2 d\varphi(x), \\ \tilde{C}_{an} &= \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}^2(x) \xi_a^2(X_i) f^4(X_i) \right] d\varphi(x). \end{aligned}$$

272 Then we can show that $nh^{d/2}(T_{nc1} - \tilde{C}_{an}) = nh^{d/2}(\tilde{T}_{nc1} - \tilde{C}_{an}) + o_p(1)$. Similar to the proof of
 273 Theorem 3.4, we further have $nh^{d/2}(T_{nc1} - \tilde{C}_{an}) \rightarrow N(0, \Gamma_a)$ with

$$\Gamma_a = 2 \int (\xi_a^2(x))^2 f^{-2}(x) g^2(x) dx \int \left(\int K(u) K(u+v) du \right)^2 dv.$$

274 It is also easy to show that $\hat{\Gamma}_n \rightarrow \Gamma_a$. The details are omitted for the sake of brevity.

275 For $T_{nc2} \rightarrow \infty$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we can show that $T_{nc2} \rightarrow \int_{\mathcal{C}} (v_a(x) - v(x, \theta_a))^2 dG(x)$ in probability.

276 As for \hat{C}_n and \tilde{C}_{an} , we can easily show that they are both the order of $O_p(1/(nh^d))$. Therefore, we

277 also have $|T_{nc3}| \leq 2\sqrt{(T_{nc1} - \tilde{C}_{an} + \tilde{C}_{an})T_{nc2}} = o_p(1)$.

278 Finally, for n large enough, we have

$$nh^{d/2}\hat{\Gamma}_n^{-1/2}|T_n(\hat{\theta}_n) - \hat{C}_n| = nh^{d/2}\hat{\Gamma}_n^{-1/2}|T_{nc1} - \tilde{C}_{an} + T_{nc2} + T_{nc3} + \tilde{C}_{an} - \hat{C}_n|,$$

279 which is bounded below by $nh^{d/2}\hat{\Gamma}_n^{-1/2}(T_{nc2} + o_p(1))$. This, together with the result $\hat{\Gamma}_n \rightarrow \Gamma$,
 280 implies the desired result. \square

281 Now let us prove Theorem 3.6. Denote $v_{loc}(x, \theta_0) := v_0(x) + c_n\delta(x)$. Under the alternative
 282 hypothesis, we have $(Y - m(X))^2 = v_{loc}(X, \theta_0)\varepsilon^2$. The key part of the proof is to show that
 283 $nh^{d/2}(\tilde{T}_n(\theta_0) - \tilde{C}_n) \rightarrow N(\int_{\mathcal{C}} \delta^2(x) dG(x), \Gamma)$ in distribution and $nh^{d/2}(\hat{C}_n - \tilde{C}_{nL}) = o_p(1)$, where

$$\tilde{C}_{nL} := \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}^2(x) \xi_{loc}^2(X_i) f^4(X_i) d\varphi(x). \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. The proof largely follows the similar arguments in the proofs of previous theorem, hence only
 the main steps are sketched here for the sake of brevity. First we have to show that $nh^{d/2}(T_n(\hat{\theta}_n) -$
 $\tilde{T}_n(\theta_0)) = o_p(1)$, where

$$\tilde{T}_n(\theta_0) = \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) [(Y_i - m(X_i))^2 - v_0(X_i)] f^2(X_i) \right]^2 d\varphi(x).$$

284 Denote $(Y_i - m(X_i))^2 - v_{loc}(X_i, \theta_0) = \xi_{loc}(X_i)$, $\tilde{T}_n(\theta_0)$ can be further written as the sum of the
 285 following three terms

$$\begin{aligned} T_{nL1}(\theta_0) &= \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) [(Y_i - m(X_i))^2 - v_{loc}(X_i, \theta_0)] f^2(X_i) \right]^2 d\varphi(x) \\ T_{nL2}(\theta_0) &= \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) [v_{loc}(X_i, \theta_0) - v_0(X_i)] f^2(X_i) \right]^2 d\varphi(x) \\ T_{nL3}(\theta_0) &= 2 \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) [(Y_i - m(X_i))^2 - v_{loc}(X_i, \theta_0)] f^2(X_i) \right] \\ &\quad \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}(x) [v_{loc}(X_i, \theta_0) - v_0(X_i)] f^2(X_i) \right] d\varphi(x). \end{aligned}$$

286 For $T_{nL1}(\theta_0)$, it has the decomposition $nh^{d/2}T_{nL1}(\theta_0) = nh^{d/2}(\tilde{C}_{nL} + M_n)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{C}_{nL} &= \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{hi}^2(x) \xi_{loc}^2(X_i) f^4(X_i) d\varphi(x) \\ M_n &= \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i \neq j} K_{hi}(x) K_{hj}(x) \xi_{loc}(X_i) f^2(X_i) \xi_{loc}(X_j) f^2(X_j) d\varphi(x). \end{aligned}$$

287 We can further show that $nh^{d/2}(T_{nL1}(\theta_0) - \tilde{C}_{nL}) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \Gamma)$, and $nh^{d/2}(\hat{C}_n - \tilde{C}_{nL}) = o_p(1)$, $\hat{\Gamma}_n \rightarrow \Gamma$
 288 under the local alternative hypothesis. Therefore, the desired result can be obtained after proving
 289 that $nh^{d/2}T_{nL2} \rightarrow \int_{\mathcal{C}} \delta^2(x) dG(x)$ in probability, and $nh^{d/2}T_{nL3} = o_p(1)$. As we mentioned before,
 290 the arguments are similar to those used in the proof of Theorem 3.4 and 3.5. \square

291 References

- 292 Bosq, D., 2012. Nonparametric statistics for stochastic processes: estimation and prediction. volume
 293 110. Springer Science & Business Media.
- 294 Hall, P., 1984. Central limit theorem for integrated square error of multivariate nonparametric
 295 density estimators. *Journal of multivariate analysis* 14, 1–16.
- 296 Koul, H.L., Ni, P., 2004. Minimum distance regression model checking. *Journal of Statistical*
 297 *Planning and Inference* 119, 109–141.